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ABSTRACT

The main objective of this study is to analyze the current roles of the Posyandu (one-stop healthcare service) in providing healthcare services to the community and to analyze the potentials for improving its role within the framework of decentralization and village autonomy. Posyandu is a community-based organization (CBOs) at lowest level of governance hierarchy in Indonesian government system. Theoretically Posyandu in the era of decentralization is a local potential that plays an important role as a form of community empowerment which is obviously one of the objectives of decentralization (Smith, 1985; Cheema and Rondinelli, 1983; Mahwood, 1983). However, over a decade of decentralization implementation in North Sumatra, the performance of Posyandu has not been maximized according to the decentralization blueprint. This research takes place in two districts in North Sumatra province and uses qualitative methods as well as in-depth interviews and documentation as the primary data collection technique. The finding of this research is the village autonomy is very important in revitalizing Posyandu, but for two districts in North Sumatra this has not worked as expected.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia has systematically and comprehensively implemented a decentralized system of governance notably since the issuance of Law No.22 of 1999 on Regional Government which
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became effective in 2001. Formerly Indonesia implemented a dominantly centralized governance system within 32 years even though the decentralization system was introduced in the law of local governance (Law No. 5 of 1974). This decentralization policy has a positive impact on the region because beside bringing its own affairs independently it can also bring the efficiency and effectiveness in local governance. One of the affairs or sectors that are the autonomous authority of local government is the healthcare services.

At the local level, healthcare affairs is the responsibility of the Dinas Kesehatan/Dinkes (healthcare service) both at the provincial and district/municipal levels which is a form of delegation from the authority of the local head which are governor, regent or mayor. In local government legislation, the authority of the provincial healthcare office and the authority of district healthcare offices has clearly been separated. Dinkes of districts are the main implementer of healthcare services while the provincial government has more authority that is not yet fully managed or unable to carry out by districts/municipals or in other terms is referred as the residue authority of the districts/municipal in the healthcare sector. One of the roles the Dinkes of districts/municipal in the decentralization era related to the subject of this research is to build a Puskesmas (public healthcare center at sub district level or at part of the sub district geographical administration). While Puskesmas is the front guard that faced directly with the community in providing health services. However, this role is not easy to conduct mainly due to the the several limitations of the Puskesmas.

Therefore, Puskesmas is assisted by Posyandu which is an organization established by village or kelurahan (an administrative, urban area and non-autonomous area) as a form of community empowerment in health sector.

In the empiric practice of Posyandu management related on both technical and cadre empowerment, the development of Posyandu has much been implemented by the central government through the provision of operational healthcare fund (Bantuan Operasional Kesehatan/BOK) sourced from the central or state budget. In addition, the provincial and district/municipal governments also provide other funding for the management and the Posyandu development which is relatively small compared to those of funds provided by the central government. Although there is a fund for the management and development of Posyandu from central and local governments, those contribution are still considered to be less than optimal in the management and development of Posyandu. On the other hand, the village as a geographical base and Posyandu work area, has the potentials to develop Posyandu to provide significant meaning in the development of the healthcare sector in Indonesia through the allocation of annual budgets from the village budget. This paper will further examine the current circumstance
of the Posyandu in North Sumatra as well as opportunities to develop village autonomy in assisting the development of Posyandu.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The purpose of this study is to analyze the role that Posyandu performs in providing healthcare services to the community and to analyze the potential to develop and improve the role of Posyandu within the framework of decentralization and village autonomy. Regulations on decentralization basically have provided broad authority for autonomous regions in this case the district in performing governmental services functions including the healthcare sector.

However, the broad authority has not been followed by the ability to allocate budget and program for Posyandu. On the other hand, there is the potential to optimize the role of villages with their genuine authority in assisting budgets and Posyandu programs. In the frame of governance structures, in the district areas there are villages where Posyandu is established. Villages are autonomous regions that have their own budgets through village funds allocated specifically in the annual state budget or in each village annual budget. This is certainly different from urban areas (Kelurahan) that are non-autonomous but district sub-ordinance under the supervision of sub-district governments and their autonomy is very limited.

This research uses qualitative method with in-depth interview as main technique in data collection. Besides, secondary data either in the form of published and unpublished documentation is also used as supporting data in this study. The research informants came from employees at the sub-district, village and hamlet levels as well as Puskesmas, Posyandu cadres and community members. This study was conducted from the year 2013-2016 so that the rules of legislation adopted primarily taken within that time period. Restrictions on the use of these laws and regulations are however another limitation of this research.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Decentralization and Regional Potentials

It is not easy to define the concept of decentralization as it involves various aspects, shapes and dimensions. The shape of decentralization can be seen from different values and practices (Rees & Hossain, 2010). While (Hart, 1972) says decentralization can be seen from various perspectives because some disciplines and theories pay attention to decentralization such as the science of administration, politics and economics. In the global level, decentralization is discussed in a broader range with regard to democracy, political reform, community participation, empowerment, rural development, fiscal and economic development, accountability and capacity building of human resources (Smoke, 2003). However, from the
diversity of meanings of decentralization, in principle, it has a common thread of thought, namely the need to divide authority and responsibility centered on one unit to be shared or distributed to other units within an organization or entity.

One of the potentials owned by the regions in Indonesia are community-based organizations or CBOs, private sector or non-governmental organizations (NGOs). However, in this era of decentralization, the discussion of community-based organizations such as Posyandu is much more relevant because decentralization is interpreted as having great authority in the regions to develop all the potentials in the region. In addition, decentralization has made governments closer to the community, so the community needs to be empowered to be involved or participate in local development.

3.2. Posyandu as Community-Based Organization (CBOs) in Healthcare Sector

Before the Posyandu was established there was an institution so called Pembangunan Kesehatan Masyarakat Desa (PKMD) which is community healthcare development at the village level. The purpose of the establishment of PKMD is to improve the capacity of the community in the healthcare sector so as to help themselves in realizing a better community life. PKMD is implemented based on the principle of mutual assistance and community self-help with government support through cross-sectoral coordination. The concept of PKMD is basically similar to the Primary Health Care (PHC) stated in the Alma Ata Declaration in 1978 which is a primary or essential healthcare service that makes healthcare recognized as a human right regardless of social, economic, racial, citizenship, religious status and gender.

The PKMD at the beginning of its establishment has several activities such as nutrition improvement, diarrhea prevention, community treatment in rural areas, immunization and family planning. These activities are carried out by each work unit resulting in healthcare services being fragmented. For this reason, in 1984 the activities in the community were put together into a platform so called Posyandu. Furthermore, the Ministry of Health (1990) said the services provided in Posyandu are integrated, it aims to provide convenience and benefit for the community because within the Posyandu the community can obtain full service at the same time and place.

Based on the Ministry of Home Affairs Regulation No. 19 Year 2011, Article 1 paragraph 1 mentioned that Posyandu is one form of community healthcare effort that is managed and organized from, by, for and with the community in the implementation of healthcare development, in order to empower the community and provide convenience to the community in obtaining basic healthcare services for accelerate the decline in maternal and infant mortality. From this understanding, Posyandu is one unit or place of healthcare service at the lower level.
that directly in contact with the community. The goal is to empower communities in the health sector, so that communities themselves can solve healthcare problems in their own areas. As a form of healthcare effort, Posyandu is assisted by Posyandu cadres who work voluntarily to deliver healthcare programs to the community.

Based on the concept of establishing and managing Posyandu or based on legal rules issued by the government, this organization is a community-based organization (CBOs) so that self-help, voluntarism and mutual cooperation principles are the foundation of this organization. This organization is a nonprofit organization and certainly not a business organization that has a commercial purpose in its establishment. All parties responsible or working in Posyandu activities should eliminate the desire to obtain financial benefits from Posyandu activities. Therefore, all components of the community, both the government itself and the citizens as a whole, have a responsibility in developing Posyandu and ensure that the organization is running according to the principles of community-based organizations that contribute positively to the public healthcare sector.

3.3. Posyandu Position in Government Structure

In the decentralization era, the Dinkes of district or municipal are the highest institutions that take care of the healthcare sector at the district or municipal level. Subsequently, the Dinkes of district shall be established by Puskesmas as technical executing unit to carry out some technical operational activities and/or supporting technical activities that have a working area on one or more sub-districts. The operational technical activities are directly related to the public service while the supporting activities are activities to support the implementation of the task of the main organization. To carry out this task at the sub-district level, Puskesmas is assisted by Posyandu. Thus Posyandu position to Puskesmas is as a platform of community empowerment in healthcare sector which is medically constructed by Puskesmas.

Village government is the institution responsible for the implementation of development in the village. In each village there are several Posyandu. Thus the Posyandu in the framework of organizational is as a forum of community empowerment in the healthcare sector and is fostered by the village government. Within the village governmental structure there are four working groups and the working group IV is responsible for managing healthcare programs, environmental sustainability and healthy planning. One of the tasks of this working group is to develop and foster the implementation of Posyandu activities. Just as at the village level, at the sub-district level the working group IV manages healthcare programs, environmental sustainability and healthy planning and one of the priority healthcare programs is the optimization of Posyandu. The role of working groups both at the village and sub-district level towards Posyandu is to provide guidance in the administrative, financial and program aspects.
In addition to the above institutions, there are obviously many parties or related institutions that help Posyandu such as the agency of Community Empowerment and Village Administration (BPMPD), Healthcare Office, Regional Family Planning Work Unit, the Regional Development Planning Agency (Bappeda), and the Ministry of Religious Affairs (Depag), Agency of Education (Disdik), the Agriculture Agency (Distan), Small and Medium Enterprises (Dinas UKM), Trade Service (Disperindag) and so on. All bodies are supporting the technical operation of Posyandu in accordance with their respective roles and functions. Meanwhile, the Posyandu working group, the women group (PKK) at sub district level, community organizations or NGOs and the private sector/business world also plays a role to assist the smoothness of Posyandu activities.

From the above description, the relationship between village and sub-district government and Puskesmas is most visible relation in conducting Posyandu empowerment. Posyandu cadres are recruited by the head of sub-village and nurtured by the head of village as well as the head of sub district as a form of community empowerment in the healthcare sector. While the Posyandu cadres run the Posyandu activities that receive direct assistance from the Puskesmas which is the unit of technical implementation of the Dinkes. These two parties, both villages or sub-districts on one hand and Puskesmas on the other hand can increase the role of Posyandu in the community if conducted in synergy but otherwise becomes less useful if solely done for sector interests only.

3.4. Puskesmas: the Urgency of Posyandu

In the districts, the Posyandu was established in each sub villages. But it can happen or there are times when there is no Posyandu in a village because only a few family members have children aged (0-6 years) so that Posyandu in the village is combined with Posyandu in another nearby sub district in the same village or neighboring sub village. Meanwhile in each village there are several sub-villages, thus in each village there are several Posyandu.

There is a significant similarity between Posyandu in urban and rural areas during decentralization such as the low visit or community participation to come to Posyandu. However, compared to Posyandu in rural areas, the number of community visits to Posyandu in urban areas is much lower. There are many factors of that low participation, such as there are many clinics or hospitals around Posyandu, the economic status of the community which is in the middle to upper level and the education level of the community is relatively high so it demands a professional service even to get the service people have to pay. Even in the area where Chinese are the major inhabitants, the attendance of the community to Posyandu is very low. While Posyandu in rural areas is found little more role in improving public healthcare status. Some of the causes are the distance between rural and healthcare facilities such as clinic
or hospital is far enough. In addition, education and socioeconomic factors in the rural areas that are not high affect the desire of the community to come to *Posyandu*.

*Posyandu* is the front guard to help ensure the growth of children. However, most mothers still consider *Posyandu* only a place to get immunization, so many people leave *Posyandu* after their child has received complete immunization. In fact the *Posyandu* is not only to get immunization, but it is also important to monitor and weigh the child's weight through routine weighing and health counseling. Because, most children under five there is a so-called difficult phase of eating so that in this phase need to be monitored so it do not let the child malnourished. That's why mothers should routinely carry babies/children until the age of five years despite having received full immunization.

Facing such challenges, *Posyandu* need to work with other parties such as *Puskesmas*, village and sub-district government in preparing everything before and during *Posyandu* days. In addition, the community as the recipient of *Posyandu* services should be involved as much as possible by providing continuous socialization about the importance of *Posyandu* specifically for maternal and child health as well as in general for the healthcare of sub district community. By the common understanding between all sectors at the sub-district and community levels, *Posyandu* activities can benefit all parties.

Table 1: Tasks of the *Posyandu* cadres during the *Posyandu* session

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before the <em>Posyandu</em> Session</th>
<th>During the <em>Posyandu</em> Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Preparing staff, tools, and equipment for undertaking *Posyandu*.  
• Inviting the community members (mothers of infant or child) to come to *Posyandu*.  
• Coordination with the village authorities to get known whether the *Posyandu* Help Desk within the village government is available on the day of *Posyandu*.  
• Briefing among cadres to prepare what to be done and who must be in charge on each activity during the day of *Posyandu*. | • Filling in report within the KMS (Card of Healthcare History) into Registration Book or Cadre Notebook.  
• Evaluating the activities within the day of *Posyandu* and planning the activities to be undertaken in a month to come.  
• Conducting discussion among the mother of infants or children whose residents are neighbor.  
• Conducting Home Visiting (door to door coaching) to remind the mother of infant or child to come to *Posyandu* for the next month. |

Each *Posyandu* unit has 5 cadres, although it is very rare that all cadres are present on the day of *Posyandu*. The official role of the cadre can be seen in every *Posyandu* activity called ”the five table service”. Although from the observation, these tables currently are no longer visible and adjusted to the conditions where the day of the *Posyandu* took place. The arrangement of services at the *Posyandu* table is done in sequence by means table with the largest serial number is the last table in the service cycle in *Posyandu*. At the beginning, on the Table 1 cadre receives registration from the community who came to *Posyandu* while on the Table 2 the cadre weighs children or babies. On Table 3, the cadre note in papers the weighing result of children or babies as it is performed on table 2. The weighing results are listed in a control card known as the book so called *Kartu Menuju Sehat (KMS)*. On table 4 the cadre conducts counseling to the mother or community attending to *Posyandu*. Counseling is intended to provide advice on general health as related to hygiene and nutrition for infants or children brought by mothers to *Posyandu*. Sometimes cadres can also provide limited medical advice on infant or child health. The last table which is the Table 5 is occupied by healthcare officer from the *Puskesmas* who are responsible for immunizing infants and children. In addition, this table can also provide consultation and medical treatments for a program so called “family planning” such as contraceptive services and injections.

The division of tasks in *Posyandu* is actually done in turns so that each cadre understands the task at each service desk. But the research finds the fact the division of this task is not done anymore for several reasons including the accuracy and potential of cadres to occupy the existing table. This study shows that the majority of each cadre only performs a similar task on a regular or continuous basis. An old cadre, for example, never weighs a baby or fills *KMS* for the reason that the eyes are nearsighted and inadequate in filling out the form. Meanwhile, young cadres are sometimes never positioned on the table IV as a counselor because it is considered not too experienced so less confident or less mastering matters relating to health. Details of table numbers and activities performed on each table in the *Posyandu* can be seen in Table 2.
Table 2: Profiles of Title, Activities of Each Table on Posyandu Session

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Table</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Registration of Infants, Pregnant Mother and Breastfeeding Mother</td>
<td>1. Registration of Infant &amp; 2. Registration of Pregnant Mother</td>
<td>The infants are registered in a form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Infant Weighting</td>
<td>Weighting Infant or Child Under 5 years old</td>
<td>The weighting record is noted in a small paper attached to KMS (Card of Healthcare History)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Reporting of Infant Weight</td>
<td>Copying the weighting record in to KMS of each infant or child.</td>
<td>In case the infant or child has a birth certificate, the date must be copied into to KMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Coaching and Services on Nutrition for Infant Mothers, Pregnant Mothers and Breastfeeding Mother</td>
<td>The cadres or medical staff brief the infant’s mother of their children health profile, give the instructions or recommendations to be done</td>
<td>This table is also placed as a platform for having discuss among mothers of children, Posyandu cadres or medical staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Healthcare Services, Family Planning, Immunization, Vitamins and Oralit Corner</td>
<td>Delivering services on family planning, immunization, and passing oraltis to the infants or child</td>
<td>The infants are registered in a form</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Kementerian Kesehatan (2012b).

From the findings in the field, people who bring children or infants to Posyandu are still around 45-55 percent. This causes Posyandu cadres to visit the home of parents who do not bring their children or infants to Posyandu. A family from the middle to upper class in term of economic status eagerly bring their children or infants to immunize to the clinic or hospital. However, Posyandu cadres must ensure that all children or infants in the village or work area of them have been immunized which can be seen from the KMS card of the children or infants. This is important because immunization or vaccine is a future investment of children so that they avoid
dangerous diseases and infections in the days to come. In addition, Posyandu cadres also do weighing, measuring height and head circle of children or infants to know the nutritional status, whether including the category of good nutrition or malnutrition. The nutritional status of children or infants is also recorded in the KMS card. If included in the category of malnutrition, the cadres will report to the Puskesmas for further actions or given additional food.

The KMS cards contain normal child growth charts based on anthropocentric index of body weight according to age. There are three main functions of KMS card which are a tool to monitor the growth of children or infants, health record of children or infants and learning tool. Thus KMS card is one way to know the state of maternal and child health and subsequently given a response if the mother and child health conditions are not that good. But the problem is the data or information in the KMS card is not entirely reliable. Limited ability and lack of awareness of the importance of appropriate data is not fully owned by most cadres yet. Therefore, it is not surprising if there is a difference of data of children or infants or information issued by Posyandu, Puskesmas, village, sub-district and also the national agency of Indonesian statistics. Nazri et al. (2015) said the way to improve the quality of Posyandu services is through the provision of quality resources, including capable human resources of cadres.

Besides playing role in Posyandu programs or related to mother and child program, cadre also play an important role outside Posyandu program which is as a companion of Puskesmas staff delivering healthcare programs to the community, such as mosquito nest eradication program. However, some new cadres are willing to participate if they get money from the Puskesmas. This happens because there are misperceptions among the cadres and the community that eradicate mosquito breeding or other Puskesmas programs solely for the benefit of Puskesmas. Whereas the duty of Puskesmas staff is to socialize the programs to the community so that the community is empowered and understands healthy way of life.

There is a difference in the scope of the role of Posyandu cadres according to the Ministry of Home Affairs and the Ministry of Health. Based on the definition derived from the Ministry of Health, Posyandu cadres play a role in community activities. This means that in addition to the Posyandu activities, the cadre also plays a role in assisting Puskesmas staff in other healthcare activities outside the Posyandu program, such as eradicating mosquito nest, malnutrition, dengue fever and so on. However, according to the regulation of the Ministry of Home Affairs, Posyandu cadres only assist healthcare officers in Posyandu activities or related to maternal and child healthcare programs. The different scope of this work affects a number of cadres in providing assistance to Puskesmas officers such as asking for a reward for assistance provided outside the Posyandu program such as mosquito nest eradication program.

3.5. Posyandu Challenges: The Lack of Healthcare Budget
Posyandu as a community-based organization plays an important role in delivering healthcare programs to the community, especially on maternal and child health. However, in the decentralization era, not all regions give full attention to Posyandu. There is a difference between Posyandu in the “new order” era or the era of President Soeharto administration and decentralization era. The success of the government of the “new order” reduced the mortality rate of mothers and children can not be separated from the Indonesian government system which at that time embraced mostly the centralization system. President Soeharto as the supreme leader directly lead the policy on maternal and child healthcare, increases the healthcare budget to reduce maternal and child mortality and mobilizes provincial and district governments to pay attention to maternal and child problems. In this case, Puskesmas and Posyandu are the spearheads of implementing the mother and child programs. Posyandu services that spread up to remote villages or sub village managed to reduce infant mortality and control the spread of disease outbreaks. In contrast to the decentralization era, districts/municipalities as autonomous regions have the authority to regulate their respective regional affairs, including healthcare affairs. Consequently, the attention to Posyandu is not the same across the region and depending much on the vision and mission of the regent/mayor as the supreme leader of the district/city.

One of the obstacles in paying attention to Posyandu in the era of decentralization is related to the allocation of local budget for healthcare. Nevertheless, when compared to the era of centralization, the decentralization era has increased healthcare budget in North Sumatra. This indicates that there is awareness of the region to pay attention to the healthcare sector which is the district or municipal genuine affair. This budget comparison can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3: Comparison of Total Healthcare Sector Budget at Centralization and Decentralization Period in North Sumatra (in Million IDR, 00)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Centralization Period</th>
<th>Decentralization Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,134</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,333</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,801</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,454</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,592</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed from Kementerian Keuangan, D.J.P.K. (2016)
Although the healthcare sector budget has increased in the era of decentralization compared with the era of centralization but the amount allocated to the healthcare sector has not complied with the provisions of legislation that is at least 10% of the Annual Regional Revenue and Expenditure Budget (APBD). As in 2015, the amount of APBD in North Sumatra was IDR 39.9 trillion, of which the budget for the healthcare sector was only Rp 3.7 trillion or 9.41 per cent of the total of local budget (Ministry of Finance, 2015). While based on the performance of the Ministry of Health (2015) in 2014 only 48.87% of all districts/municipals in Indonesia have allocated a health sector budget of at least 10% of total local expenditure excluding salaries (Health Act). As many as 51.13% of district/municipals governments still allocate budgets for health affairs below 10% of annual local budget (Table 4).

Table 4: APBD Allocation on Healthcare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector Percentage</th>
<th>Number of Local Government Unit</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At least 10%</td>
<td>239 Districts/Municipalities</td>
<td>48.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% - 9.99%</td>
<td>234 Districts/Municipalities</td>
<td>48.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.44% - 4.99%</td>
<td>15 Districts/Municipalities</td>
<td>3.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Kementerian Kesehatan (2015)

Compared to the year of 2015, the amount of budget allocations in the healthcare sector in 2014 is almost the same or no significant increase. This is because the amount of budget allocation for salaries of public servants. Of all the districts/municipalities in Indonesia, as many as 131 local governments allocate half of the local annual budget for staff salaries excluding of the salaries of central government employees who work in areas such as police and soldiers (detik.com, 2017). The remaining budget that is not too large is finally not fully arrive to the community in the form of community development such as the development of healthcare services. There are times when the funds do not reach the public due to the corrupt practices of state officials and civilian apparatus which commonly occurs simultaneously with the implementation of decentralization in Indonesia. This is on the one hand in line with the opinion of Wildmalm (2008) which states that in developing countries the most fundamental challenge in health and education development comes from corrupt practices by government officials, police, teachers and health workers.

One of the causes the amount of fund cannot reach at least 10% of total of local annual budget for the healthcare sector is due to the small local revenue (PAD) of a district/municipality. Smaller PAD is used more for infrastructure construction or additional income of local officials. According to the provisions of the PAD legislation itself, the local revenue comes from local
taxes, regional restitution, the result of local assets management and so forth. Local taxes and retributions are not optimal in assisting regional revenue due to lack of potential, lack of diversification and intensification of local taxes and levies. Of all districts in North Sumatera, the district of Deli Serdang has the largest amount of local revenue in fiscal year of 2014, 2015 and 2016 and the district of South Nias being the region with the smallest local annual revenue. Nevertheless, the healthcare sector budget of Deli Serdang has not reached at least 10% of local annual budget as mandated by law (Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Distric/Municipality</th>
<th>Genuine Revenue (In. Million IDR,00)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Deli Serdang</td>
<td>566,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Serdang Bedagai</td>
<td>61,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Simalungun</td>
<td>110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Langkat</td>
<td>114,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Karo</td>
<td>67,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tapanuli Selatan</td>
<td>77,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Nias</td>
<td>44,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mandailing Natal</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tapanuli Tengah</td>
<td>32,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tapanuli Utara</td>
<td>36,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Toba Samosir</td>
<td>23,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Labuhan Batu</td>
<td>85,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Asahan</td>
<td>59,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Dairi</td>
<td>24,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Nias Selatan</td>
<td>76,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Humbang Hasundutan</td>
<td>26,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Pakpak Bharat</td>
<td>10,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Samosir</td>
<td>23,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Batubara</td>
<td>29,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Padang Lawas</td>
<td>34,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Padang Lawas Utara</td>
<td>28,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Labuhanbatu Selatan</td>
<td>35,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Labuhanbatu Utara</td>
<td>28,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Nias Utara</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Nias Barat</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sumatera Utara</td>
<td>3,499,002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the era of decentralization, the attention of local governments to the existence of Posyandu differs depending on the its regent/mayor who is the head of regency/municipality. As an autonomous region, the regent/mayor has the authority to regulate their respective regional affairs, including healthcare sector affairs. Therefore, the attention to Posyandu is not the same depending on the vision and mission of the regent/mayor who is directly elected by the local community. One indicator that a regent/mayor is concerned about healthcare problems can be seen from the amount of budget allocation for the healthcare program. The two districts that serve as the location of this study still allocate health affairs under 10 percent of local annual budget. This means that Bantuan Operasional Kesehatan (BOK), funds from the central government, are used to be the main funds in the healthcare sector program. This causes some programs are not maximal and arrived down to the community because of the small amount of funds available. Meanwhile, healthcare programs or activities that must be implemented in Puskesmas and Posyandu are quite a lot.

3.6. Village Position Under The Decentralized Indonesia Framework

In the history of the regulation on the village, the village during the new order period has been regulated through its own regulation, namely Law No 5/1975 on village government but after the political reform in 1998, the village is included as part of the substance of the local government Law which is the Law No 22/1999 and the Law No 32/2004. But in the dynamic of state administration, the village has evolved in various forms so it needs to be protected and empowered to be strong, advanced, independent and democratic so as to create a strong foundation for governance and development towards a just, prosperous and prosperous society so that the arrangements are formed in a single constitution. The law on villages is further regulated in Law No. 6/2014.

Philosophically the village is a unitary of customary law community formed based on three basic principles, namely genealogical, territorial, and/or a combination of genealogical with territorial. In that connection, the state recognizes and respects the unity of indigenous and tribal peoples along with their traditional rights as long as they are alive and in accordance with the development of society and the principle of the unitary state. Implementation of indigenous and tribal peoples have existed and live in the territory of Indonesia, such as huta/nagori in North Sumatra, gampong in Aceh, nagari in Minangkabau, clans in southern Sumatra, tiuh or pekon in Lampung, pakraman village in Bali, lembang in Toraja, banua and wanua in Kalimantan, and negeri in Maluku (Law No. 6 of 2014).

Within the framework of deconcentration, different terminology regarding the name or designation of the village basically does not receive different treatment in the case of government administration. All villages with their unique names and titles have equal rights and obligations
within the framework of governance. If described in a hierarchical manner, the village position within the framework of decentralization applies equally to provincial and district/municipal governments. From the aspect of political decentralization or in other terms called devolution, the village stands as an autonomous organization with original authority in the field of governance as regulated by law. From the aspect of administrative decentralization or deconcentration, the village becomes an integral part of the national administration system and hierarchy that is subject to the district government and so forth. Both forms of decentralization are run by villages simultaneously and both complement each other in an effort to provide services to the public. From the two forms of decentralization, the devolution aspect applies the coordination mechanisms in the field of village governance and the deconcentration aspect applies the command or control mechanism as illustrated in Figure 1. However, the problems of capacity, knowledge and commitment of the village administration in interpreting both forms of decentralization are often consistent in the field.

![Diagram of Village Position in the Framework of Decentralized Indonesia]

Source: Adapted from Law No 32/2014

**Figure 1: The Position of Village in The Framework of Decentralized Indonesia**

In the process of organizing devolution, the village has a wide and independent autonomy that can not be intervened by the government at any level. This has been regulated comprehensively through other laws and regulations on the village. The autonomy includes planning programs, activities and budgets for the government sector including the healthcare sector. Higher levels of government can only coordinate and communicate if there are things that should be village
priorities but not done, policies that are potentially violating the law or may create instability. On the other hand coordination can also be done when policies made by the village government are discriminatory and counterproductive. The extent of authority and autonomy of the village is not surprising if the political and democratic processes that exist in the village sometimes resemble the dynamics of politics and democracy applicable to the district/municipal and provincial governments.

In the process of deconcentration, the village acts as a governmental tool at the upper level so that command authority can be carried out by the district/municipality government, the provincial government and the central government towards the village administration. Villages in this context are positioned as the subordination of higher government. One form of this deconcentration implementation is the allocation of the village fund allocation (Anggaran Dana Desa/ADD) made by the central government each year or other funding from the provincial and district/municipal governments. Because of its deconcentrative nature, higher levels of government can provide verdicts, sanctions or other forms of punishment addressed to village governments if judged to violate the use of funds provided by the higher government. The nature of the verdict is administrative such as delay of disbursement of annual funds up to annual budget cuts.

3.7. Village Autonomy and Budget of Village Funds: A Solution for Posyandu

The village is the smallest unit of government that is autonomous within the framework of the unitary state of the Republic of Indonesia. As an autonomous region, villages have authority, duties and responsibilities in the field of governance like other autonomous regions both provinces and districts or municipalities. According to Article 1 Paragraph 1 of Law No 6/2014 concerning on villages, villages or other so-called is a legal entities which have territorial boundaries that are authorized to regulate and manage the government, the interests of local communities based on community initiatives, the right of origin, and/or traditional rights recognized and respected within the system of government of the unitary state of the Republic of Indonesia. An autonomous village (local-self-government) is established on the basis of decentralization with the law so that it has full authority to manage and manage its own household.

As an autonomous region, the village is actually a replica of an autonomous region of provinces as well as districts/municipalities. The similarity of autonomy forms can be seen in at least three aspects, namely the selection method of government leadership, the mechanism of democracy and the independence of the budget. Selection of government leaders at the village level is conducted directly through an election similar to the election of provincial and district/municipal leaders. The mechanism of democracy is related to executive and legislative relations in the
management of the same government, namely the role of legislation, control and budgeting presented by the Village Consultative Board (Badan Pemusyawaratan Desa/BPD) as well as the role of the Regional House of Representatives (Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Daerah/DPRD) in provincial and district/municipal governments. Budget independence is characterized by an annual budgeting system in every village government known as Village Annual Budget (Anggaran Pendapatan dan Belanja Desa/APBDes) where the components are the same as provincial and district/municipal annual budgets.

In order to develop Posyandu, with its creativity, village government can participate especially in capacity building and competence of Posyandu cadres located in their respective villages. This is in line with several village authorities as set forth in the law on the village which are: (1) fostering the life of the village community (2) fostering and improving the village economy and integrating it to achieve a productive-scale economy for the greatest prosperity of the village community (3) proposing and receiving part of the state's wealth to improve the welfare of the village community (4) developing the socio-cultural life of the village community, and (4) coordinating the participatory village development.

Posyandu development in this context certainly related to efforts to foster the lives of villagers, especially healthcare life. With the creation of public health life of the village it of course bring about the progress of social and cultural welfare of the people living in a village. To achieve this goal, the village government needs a regular, harmonious and sustainable program that is also routinely funded from the village budget.

The central government through the regulation of the ministry of home affairs No. 37/2007 on Guidelines for Village Financial Management has also set up the financing sources for the villages in order to provide services to the community which are from the village genuine income (Pendapatan Asli Desa (PADes), the obligation for the centenal up to district/municipality government to provide fund transfers for villages, grants or donations from the third party such as company or other private organization. This PADes is worthy of being reviewed in conjunction with increasing the potential of village revenues. PADes consists of business results, village asset yields, self-help and participation, mutual assistance including revenues as a result of cooperation with third parties and assistance companies located in the village. Villages in this context may have village-owned enterprises (Badan Usaha Milik Desa/BUMDes) such as village-owned paddy machines as well as clean water management. In certain areas such as in a Sibanggor Julu village of Mandailing Natal district, it has an asset in the form of a village rice field called "saba wakaf" where the village obtains a share of the asset management proceeds. In addition, the village earns revenues from parking fees in hot springs and levies for tourists who climb mount Sorik Marapi. This creativity is merely an example of
how village potentials can be developed into village original revenues and provide significant support in the village's annual budget.

As a form of applying the principle of administrative decentralization or deconcentration, the ministry of home affairs regulation No. 37/2007 also regulates the transfer of funds from the central government, known as Allocation for Village Funds (Alokasi Dana Desa/ADD). The ADD has been set at 10% of the central and local government balance funds received by each district/municipal government. The use of the ADD budget is 30% for village government apparatus and government expenditure, 70% for community empowerment costs. For the community empowerment expenditure is used for: the cost of improving public facilities on a small scale, community capital investment through village owned enterprises, costs for food security procurement, improvement of environment and settlement, appropriate technology, healthcare and education improvement, socio-cultural development and so on which are considered important. For villages with limited assets and limited source of village revenues, the ADD is still a major source in their respective annual revenue.

Based on regulations made by the central government, villages as autonomous regions can use the ADD funds as part of efforts to improve the healthcare and education sectors of villagers. The commitment to allocate certain funds to the healthcare sector at the village level is crucial. Village that is particularly already self-reliant in terms of income, revenue and village assets other than the ADD fund, it can allocate funds for healthcare development especially through capacity building and the competence of Posyandu cadres. One informant said that he has budgeted part of the ADD funds for the development of Posyandu in the village although the nominal amount of the budget is considered inadequate. The amount of budget allocated is certainly not apart of the vision and mission and commitment of each village head as the chief executive at the village level.

Based on data released by the ministry of home affairs through the regulation No. 137/2017 Regarding on code and data region government administration, the number of villages in Indonesia is 74,053 while the urban is 8,300. For the province of North Sumatra, the number of villages consists of 5,417 while the urban is 693. From the above data, it can be concluded that almost reaching 90% of the smallest government unit in Indonesia is village. It can also be concluded that the majority of Indonesians live in the village and certainly have direct access with Posyandu in their respective areas. Village involvement in the development of Posyandu within the framework of village autonomy becomes crucial. Making some villages as a pilot activity in synergizing the concept of village autonomy with Posyandu revitalization can be used as a first step to make Posyandu more empowered and provide great benefits for health development in Indonesia.
4. CONCLUSIONS

*Posyandu* as a form of community empowerment in the healthcare sector plays an important role in helping the *Puskesmas* which is the implementing unit of *Dinkes* in the province of North Sumatra. *Posyandu* empowerment requires extra effort because so far the attention of stakeholders is still minimal. As a community-based organization (CBO) and not a commercial organization it requires sufficient funding to provide financing for the development of *Posyandu*. The development of *Posyandu* currently has been done by the central government and local government. With the limited budget of the central government, the village government, especially the villages that are already financially independent, can participate more in developing *Posyandu*. The allocation of village fund allocation (*ADD*) as done by a village in the district of Deli Serdang can serve as one of the pilot projects that can be imitated by other villages in the province. Although from the two districts that became the location of this study, *Posyandu* revitalization has not been able to run optimally.
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Law/Regulation

1. Law Number 5 Year 1975 on Village Government.
2. Law Number 5 Year 1974 on the Main Principles of Regional Government.
3. Law Number 22 Year 1999 regarding Regional Government.
4. Law Number 32 Year 2004 regarding Regional Government.
5. Law Number 6 Year 2014 on the Village.
7. Regulation of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 37 Year 2007 concerning Guidelines for the Management of Village Funds.
8. Regulation of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 137 Year 2017 About Code And Data of Regional Government Administration,