

Behind the Ballot: How Capitalism Influences Indian Voter Choices

Dr. Aftabuddin Ahammad

Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Bhairab Ganguly College, Belgharia, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

&

Visiting Professor in Human Rights, Aliah University, Kolkata, West Bengal, India.

DOI: 10.46609/IJSSER.2025.v10i07.001 URL: <https://doi.org/10.46609/IJSSER.2025.v10i07.001>

Received: 27 June 2025 / Accepted: 14 July 2025 / Published: 18 July 2025

ABSTRACT

The intricate interplay between capitalism and Indian democracy reveals significant insights into the evolving dynamics of voter behaviour. Capitalism, characterized by market-driven forces, economic liberalization, and consumerism, has a profound impact on shaping political discourse, campaign strategies, and electoral outcomes. This study explores how capitalist ideologies and practices influence Indian voter choices, examining the role of economic policies, corporate funding, and media narratives. It delves into how voter preferences are shaped by factors like income inequality, employment opportunities, and access to resources, all of which are mediated by capitalist frameworks. The paper also highlights how the commodification of electoral processes affects democratic values and the aspirations of diverse socio-economic groups in India. Through a critical analysis of data, historical trends, and contemporary examples, this study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the capitalist undercurrents behind the ballot in India.

Keywords: Capitalism, Indian Democracy, Voter Behaviour, Economic Policies, Corporate Funding, Media Influence, Electoral Commodification, Socio-Economic Inequality, Political Discourse, Electoral Strategies.

Introduction

Democracy, often celebrated as the cornerstone of equality and collective decision-making, operates within socio-economic frameworks that significantly influence its functioning. In India, the world's largest democracy, the influence of capitalism has permeated political systems, shaping how voters perceive and exercise their democratic rights. The advent of liberalization in the 1990s marked a turning point for the Indian economy, embedding capitalist principles more

deeply into the political landscape. Today, the nexus between capitalism and democracy has become increasingly apparent, affecting voter choices, political campaigns, and even governance strategies.

This book delves into the subtle yet pervasive ways capitalism moulds voter behaviour in India. It explores how economic policies, the dominance of corporate-backed funding in elections, and the growing commodification of political campaigns have reshaped electoral processes. By examining the intersections of socio-economic inequality, consumer culture, and political decision-making, it unpacks the mechanisms through which capitalist forces align—or conflict—with democratic ideals.

The study also addresses critical questions: How do economic disparities influence voting patterns? What role does corporate power play in shaping political narratives? And, importantly, does the capitalist model empower or marginalize specific voter groups in India? Through a comprehensive analysis of historical shifts, contemporary trends, and case studies, this book aims to provide a nuanced understanding of how capitalism operates behind the ballot, shaping the contours of Indian democracy.

Capitalism

Capitalism, with its emphasis on free markets and economic liberalization, has profoundly influenced various facets of Indian society, including its democratic processes. In the realm of elections, capitalism manifests as a powerful force, shaping voter behaviour, campaign strategies, and governance priorities. This intersection of economic forces and democracy underscores the intricate relationship between political power and wealth in contemporary India.

One of the most evident impacts of capitalism on Indian elections is the rising influence of corporate funding. Political parties increasingly rely on donations from big businesses to finance their campaigns, leading to a commercialization of the electoral process. This influx of money allows for extensive advertising, media outreach, and high-profile rallies, which can skew public perception in favour of wealthier candidates or parties. Consequently, electoral contests often become a battle of resources rather than a debate of ideas or policies.

Capitalism also influences voter behaviour through its role in shaping economic aspirations and priorities. In a market-driven economy, policies related to job creation, infrastructure development, and economic growth resonate strongly with voters. Political parties craft their manifestos to align with these aspirations, often emphasizing populist measures that cater to specific economic demographics. However, this focus on economic gains sometimes overshadows pressing social and environmental concerns, leading to a narrowed policy agenda.

Moreover, the commodification of politics in a capitalist framework has led to the rise of media-driven narratives. With significant corporate control over news and entertainment platforms, political discourse is often filtered through profit-driven agendas. This creates a challenge for voters to access unbiased information, as media narratives tend to favour parties and candidates with strong corporate backing.

While capitalism has brought efficiency and innovation to electoral campaigns, it also raises questions about equity and representation. The dominance of money in politics risks marginalizing voices from economically weaker sections, undermining the democratic ideal of equal participation. It also creates a dependency on corporate entities, which may influence policymaking to serve their interests, often at the expense of public welfare.

Indian Democracy

Bottom of Indian democracy, the world's largest, stands as a remarkable testament to the strength of a nation built on diversity and inclusivity. Rooted in the principles of liberty, equality, and fraternity enshrined in its Constitution, Indian democracy has evolved into a dynamic political system that accommodates the aspirations of over 1.4 billion people from varied cultural, linguistic, and religious backgrounds. This democratic framework has not only sustained India's unity but also empowered its citizens to actively participate in shaping their destiny.

The cornerstone of Indian democracy is its commitment to universal adult suffrage, ensuring every citizen has an equal voice in the electoral process. Through regular, free, and fair elections, citizens elect representatives at local, state, and national levels, thereby reinforcing the system's legitimacy. This participatory model has empowered historically marginalized communities, providing them a platform to assert their rights and demand social justice.

Indian democracy is also distinguished by its robust institutions, including an independent judiciary, a vibrant press, and a vigilant civil society. These pillars act as checks and balances, safeguarding the system from authoritarian tendencies and ensuring accountability in governance. The Constitution further upholds federalism, enabling states to address local needs while contributing to the nation's collective progress.

However, Indian democracy is not without challenges. Issues like corruption, socio-economic inequality, and communal tensions periodically test its resilience. The growing influence of money and media in elections has raised concerns about equitable representation and the erosion of democratic values. Additionally, the increasing polarization in political discourse poses a threat to the harmonious coexistence of India's diverse communities.

Despite these challenges, Indian democracy has demonstrated an unparalleled capacity to adapt and thrive. Its ability to resolve conflicts through dialogue and debate, its resilience in upholding constitutional values, and its inclusive character have solidified its status as a global example of democratic success.

Voter Behaviour

Bottom of For Voter behaviour in Indian elections is a complex phenomenon shaped by a multitude of social, economic, political, and cultural factors. In a diverse nation with over a billion people, understanding voter behaviour provides key insights into the functioning of democracy and the dynamics of political representation. Over the years, Indian voters have demonstrated a unique blend of traditional loyalties and pragmatic decision-making, making their electoral choices both predictable and surprising.

One of the most significant factors influencing voter behaviour in India is social identity. Caste, religion, ethnicity, and regional affiliations often play a decisive role in shaping voting patterns. Political parties frequently appeal to these identities, crafting strategies to mobilize specific communities. However, recent trends suggest that voters are increasingly prioritizing development and governance over identity-based politics, particularly in urban and semi-urban areas.

Economic considerations are another critical determinant. Policies related to employment, agriculture, infrastructure, and social welfare significantly impact voter choices. Rural voters, for instance, often assess parties based on their track record in addressing issues like farm distress and access to basic amenities. Similarly, urban voters may prioritize economic growth, job creation, and investments in education and healthcare. The growing emphasis on welfare schemes has also reshaped electoral landscapes, as direct benefit transfers and subsidies influence voter preferences.

The role of media and technology in shaping voter behaviour has grown exponentially in recent years. Social media platforms, political advertisements, and digital campaigns have become central to how parties connect with voters. These tools influence perceptions by amplifying political narratives and addressing specific voter concerns. While this has enhanced voter engagement, it has also raised concerns about misinformation and the manipulation of public opinion.

Indian voters have also displayed remarkable agency in their decision-making. They have not hesitated to vote out incumbents when dissatisfied with their performance, a phenomenon often referred to as the "*anti-incumbency wave*." At the same time, they have rewarded governments

that deliver on their promises, highlighting an increasing shift towards performance-based voting.

Economic Policies

Economic policies have become a central theme in Indian elections, reflecting the growing significance of economic aspirations in shaping voter preferences. As a diverse nation with a complex socio-economic fabric, India's electorate evaluates political parties and candidates based on their ability to address pressing economic challenges such as unemployment, poverty, inflation, and infrastructure development. Consequently, economic policies often serve as a decisive factor in electoral outcomes.

Political parties in India design their economic policies to appeal to diverse voter groups. For rural constituencies, promises related to agricultural subsidies, minimum support prices, and irrigation projects dominate the discourse. In contrast, urban voters are more influenced by policies aimed at job creation, tax reforms, and investments in infrastructure and technology. Welfare schemes, including direct benefit transfers, free healthcare, and subsidized food programs, also play a crucial role in shaping voter perceptions, particularly among economically disadvantaged sections of society.

The liberalization of the Indian economy in 1991 marked a significant shift in the electoral focus on economic policies. Since then, political debates have increasingly revolved around issues such as privatization, foreign direct investment, and trade liberalization. While some parties advocate pro-market reforms to spur growth, others emphasize equitable wealth distribution and social welfare. This ideological diversity ensures that economic policies remain a contested and vibrant aspect of India's electoral landscape.

Media and technology further amplify the role of economic policies in elections. Campaigns now highlight success stories like infrastructure achievements or welfare rollouts, while opposition parties scrutinize fiscal deficits, unemployment rates, and inflation to critique ruling governments. This discourse shapes public perception, often influencing undecided voters.

However, the economic promises made during elections frequently face criticism for lacking feasibility or long-term vision. Populist measures, though electorally appealing, sometimes strain public finances or create dependencies. The challenge for political leaders lies in balancing short-term voter expectations with sustainable economic growth and structural reforms.

Corporate Funding

Bottom of Form Corporate funding has become an integral part of Indian elections, reflecting the deepening nexus between politics and business. As elections grow more competitive and resource-intensive, political parties increasingly rely on financial contributions from corporations to fund their campaigns. While corporate funding enables large-scale voter outreach, it also raises critical questions about transparency, accountability, and the influence of money on democratic processes.

The legal framework for corporate donations in India underwent significant changes with the introduction of electoral bonds in 2018. These bonds allow corporations and individuals to contribute anonymously to political parties, aiming to reduce illicit cash flows. While this reform has streamlined the process, critics argue that it has also reduced accountability, as the anonymity of donors makes it challenging to assess the influence of corporate money on policymaking.

Corporate funding provides parties with the resources to organize rallies, launch media campaigns, and conduct digital outreach. This financial support often comes with expectations of favourable policies or concessions, particularly in areas like taxation, regulations, and government contracts. As a result, concerns arise about the potential for policy capture, where corporate interests take precedence over public welfare.

Additionally, the growing reliance on corporate funding creates an uneven playing field among political parties. Smaller or regional parties often struggle to compete with larger national parties that attract significant corporate donations. This financial disparity can marginalize alternative voices and limit voter choices, undermining the democratic ideal of fair competition.

Despite these challenges, corporate funding also has potential benefits. It enables professionalization and modernization of campaign practices, fostering greater voter engagement and participation. Moreover, transparent corporate contributions could reduce dependence on black money and illicit financing, provided regulatory mechanisms are robust and effectively enforced.

Media Influence

Bottom of Form Media plays a pivotal role in Indian elections, acting as both a conduit of information and a tool for political communication. In a country as diverse as India, with over a billion voters spread across urban and rural areas, the media's ability to reach and influence public opinion is unparalleled. From traditional outlets like newspapers, radio, and television to the rapidly expanding digital and social media platforms, the media's influence has grown exponentially, shaping electoral outcomes and democratic processes.

Television and print media have long been critical in disseminating news about political parties, candidates, and their agendas. Televised debates, interviews, and advertisements enable parties to present their narratives to a vast audience. However, the media's role extends beyond merely informing voters; it often sets the political agenda by highlighting specific issues and framing them in ways that shape public perception. For instance, extensive coverage of topics like unemployment or corruption can influence voter priorities, pushing these issues to the forefront of electoral discourse.

In recent years, social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram have revolutionized election campaigning in India. These platforms allow direct engagement between candidates and voters, bypassing traditional gatekeepers like journalists and editors. Targeted advertising and algorithm-driven content amplification enable parties to micro-target specific voter groups, tailoring messages to their preferences and concerns. While this enhances voter outreach, it also raises concerns about misinformation, propaganda, and echo chambers that can polarize opinions.

The influence of media in elections is not without challenges. Ownership patterns reveal that many media outlets have close ties to political or corporate entities, raising questions about bias and impartiality. Paid news and sensationalism further erode the credibility of media coverage, making it difficult for voters to access balanced and accurate information. Additionally, the lack of stringent regulation over digital platforms has allowed the proliferation of fake news, which can distort voter choices and undermine democratic processes.

Despite these challenges, the media remains a critical pillar of democracy in India. It empowers voters by providing information, amplifies marginalized voices, and holds political leaders accountable. To strengthen its role, greater emphasis on media literacy, independent journalism, and regulatory oversight is essential.

Electoral Commodification

Bottom of Form Electoral commodification refers to the transformation of democratic processes into transactional activities, where votes are treated as commodities to be bought, sold, or influenced through material and symbolic means. In the context of Indian elections, this phenomenon highlights the growing influence of money, media, and marketing strategies in shaping voter behaviour and electoral outcomes. While elections are meant to reflect the will of the people, the commodification of the process often shifts the focus from genuine democratic engagement to a market-driven competition for power.

One of the primary manifestations of electoral commodification in India is the increasing role of money in campaigns. Political parties and candidates spend exorbitant amounts on

advertisements, rallies, and giveaways, often blurring the lines between campaigning and vote-buying. Cash distributions, gifts, and other inducements aimed at securing votes undermine the integrity of the electoral process and perpetuate a culture of transactional politics.

Media and marketing strategies further contribute to commodification. Elections have become heavily mediated events, where the image and branding of candidates often take precedence over substantive discussions on policies and governance. Sophisticated advertising campaigns, celebrity endorsements, and the use of social media algorithms to micro-target voters create a consumer-like environment where political choices are influenced by packaging rather than principles.

This commodification also exacerbates inequalities among political actors. Parties with substantial financial and media resources dominate the electoral landscape, sidelining smaller or less affluent candidates who may have grassroots support but lack the means to compete in a monetized electoral system. This financial imbalance erodes the democratic ideal of a level playing field, limiting the diversity of representation in governance.

However, it is important to recognize that electoral commodification is not solely negative. The use of marketing techniques and media outreach has made elections more accessible and engaging for voters, particularly younger demographics. These tools can also be harnessed to amplify important issues, foster political awareness, and encourage voter participation.

To address the challenges posed by electoral commodification, robust regulatory mechanisms and electoral reforms are essential. Greater transparency in campaign financing, stricter enforcement of anti-corruption laws, and enhanced voter education can mitigate the negative impacts of commodification while preserving the democratic ethos.

Socio-Economic Inequality

Bottom of Form Socio-economic inequality is a persistent challenge in Indian elections, influencing both voter participation and political representation. In a country characterized by deep disparities in wealth, education, and access to resources, the democratic ideal of equal representation often collides with the realities of inequality. These disparities not only shape electoral outcomes but also affect the inclusivity and fairness of India's democratic process.

One of the most visible impacts of socio-economic inequality is the varying levels of voter engagement across different demographic groups. Wealthier and urban voters, who often have better access to information and resources, are more likely to participate actively in elections. In contrast, economically disadvantaged and marginalized communities may face barriers such as illiteracy, lack of awareness, or logistical challenges, limiting their ability to make informed

choices. This disparity in participation perpetuates the exclusion of underprivileged voices from the political arena.

Inequality also manifests in the dynamics of political representation. Candidates from affluent backgrounds or dominant social groups are more likely to secure nominations and funding, marginalizing grassroots leaders from economically weaker sections. This financial and social imbalance restricts the diversity of perspectives in governance, resulting in policies that may not adequately address the needs of marginalized populations.

Campaigning strategies further highlight the role of socio-economic inequality. Political parties often tailor their messages and promises to specific voter blocs based on economic and social status. While welfare schemes and populist measures target poorer sections, the implementation of these promises often falls short due to systemic inefficiencies and political priorities that favour wealthier constituencies.

Additionally, socio-economic inequality is amplified by the influence of money in elections. The high cost of running campaigns gives wealthier candidates and parties a significant advantage, overshadowing the voices of those with fewer financial resources. This creates a cycle where wealth consolidates political power, further entrenching inequality.

Despite these challenges, Indian democracy has seen efforts to bridge these gaps. Initiatives such as reservation policies, voter education programs, and grassroots movements have empowered marginalized communities to participate more actively in elections. The increasing focus on welfare policies and direct benefit transfers also reflects a shift towards addressing socio-economic disparities.

Political Discourse

Bottom of Form Political discourse in Indian elections plays a crucial role in shaping the democratic process, influencing voter perceptions, and determining electoral outcomes. In a country as diverse as India, where millions of voters have different cultural, linguistic, and socio-economic backgrounds, political discourse serves as the medium through which candidates and political parties communicate their visions, policies, and promises. It is through this discourse that the electorate is informed, mobilized, and persuaded to cast their votes.

One of the defining features of political discourse in Indian elections is its diversity. Political parties often tailor their messages to resonate with specific voter demographics—whether caste, religion, region, or class. In rural areas, for example, discourse may centre on agricultural subsidies, rural employment schemes, or infrastructural development, while urban voters may be more interested in economic growth, job creation, and technological innovation. The use of local

language, cultural references, and issues that affect specific communities allows political discourse to have a direct impact on voter concerns, creating a strong connection between the political class and the electorate.

However, this tailoring of political discourse also contributes to a fragmented and sometimes divisive narrative. The increasing focus on identity politics, such as caste and religion, has led to a polarized political environment, where parties often frame themselves as champions of specific communities. This narrow focus risks sidelining broader national issues such as poverty alleviation, education, healthcare, and economic reform. Furthermore, such identity-based politics can create rifts within society, making the political discourse less about solutions to common problems and more about the interests of specific groups.

The role of the media in shaping political discourse is also significant. In the age of digital media, television, newspapers, and social media platforms have become essential tools for political parties to reach voters. However, the media's role in political discourse is double-edged. While it enables political messages to reach a larger audience, it also amplifies biases, sensationalism, and often promotes ideological echo chambers. The increasing commercialization of media, coupled with the rise of paid news and partisan reporting, raises concerns about the neutrality and accuracy of the political discourse presented to the public.

Social media, in particular, has revolutionized political communication. It has allowed political parties and candidates to engage directly with voters, bypassing traditional media filters. Social media platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, and WhatsApp have become spaces for political debates, discussions, and campaigns. However, they have also facilitated the spread of misinformation, fake news, and hate speech, further complicating the political discourse during elections.

Electoral Strategies

Bottom of Form Electoral strategies in Indian elections are multifaceted and dynamic, shaped by the country's vast diversity, complex socio-political landscape, and rapidly changing technological environment. Political parties and candidates design their strategies based on an in-depth understanding of voter preferences, regional issues, and the broader national narrative. These strategies go beyond traditional campaigning methods and often involve a combination of identity politics, social media outreach, coalition-building, and issue-based politics aimed at appealing to different sections of the electorate.

One of the most significant electoral strategies in India is the use of identity-based politics. Parties often mobilize support by appealing to specific caste, religious, or regional groups. This strategy is especially prevalent in a country where identity plays a significant role in shaping

social and political allegiances. By framing their narratives around the interests and aspirations of these communities, political parties create a sense of loyalty and solidarity among voters. While this can lead to strong regional support, it can also foster polarization, making electoral competition about identity rather than broad policy platforms.

Another key strategy is the emphasis on populist welfare measures and economic promises. Political parties often make commitments related to agricultural subsidies, job creation, healthcare, education, and social welfare schemes. This approach appeals to the economically disadvantaged sections of society, who constitute a significant portion of India's electorate. Promises of direct benefit transfers (DBTs), loan waivers, and free services can influence voting decisions, particularly in rural and semi-urban areas. However, such strategies are not without criticism, as the implementation of these promises is often seen as insufficient or delayed, leading to a trust deficit among voters.

In recent years, the role of media and technology has become central to electoral strategies. Social media platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and WhatsApp have allowed parties to engage directly with voters, bypassing traditional media channels. The use of targeted advertising, political memes, and online campaigns enables parties to influence voter perceptions, particularly among the youth. The ability to mobilize supporters, disseminate information quickly, and create viral campaigns has made social media an indispensable tool in modern electoral strategies. However, the rise of fake news, misinformation, and algorithmic manipulation raises concerns about the ethical implications of using digital media for political gains.

Another important element of electoral strategy is the formation of alliances and coalitions. Given India's multiparty system, no single party can often secure an outright majority. As a result, strategic alliances between regional and national parties are a common feature of Indian elections. Coalition politics can help expand voter bases, combine resources, and strengthen chances of electoral success. However, such alliances are often fragile and driven by short-term goals, leading to instability once the elections are over.

Finally, the role of grassroots mobilization and local leaders cannot be underestimated. In many parts of India, local leaders have a substantial influence over the electorate, and their support can make or break a candidate's chances of victory. Political parties often rely on these leaders to build rapport with voters, especially in rural and remote areas. Campaigns are tailored to address local concerns, and the presence of popular local figures often adds significant weight to a party's appeal.

Summary/Conclusion

In conclusion, the influence of capitalism on Indian elections is profound and multifaceted, shaping both the strategies employed by political parties and the decisions made by voters. The intertwining of economic interests with electoral processes has created a dynamic where political campaigns are often driven by financial considerations, media influence, and the commodification of votes. Corporations, media houses, and affluent political elites play a significant role in framing the narratives that dominate electoral discourse, often overshadowing more substantive discussions on governance and policy.

Capitalism's impact on Indian elections is visible in the increasing reliance on corporate funding, which disproportionately benefits well-resourced parties and candidates. This financial advantage allows political entities to craft sophisticated campaigns that target specific voter segments through personalized messages, advertisements, and promises. However, this also raises concerns about the commodification of the electoral process, where votes are sometimes viewed as transactions rather than expressions of democratic will. As a result, the influence of money often marginalizes less affluent sections of society and limits the diversity of political representation.

Furthermore, capitalist-driven economic policies and populist welfare schemes have become key components of electoral strategies, with parties attempting to appeal to both the aspirations of the wealthy and the demands of the impoverished. While these policies are designed to garner voter support, their implementation often faces challenges, leading to skepticism about their long-term efficacy.

As India continues to embrace its capitalist model, the complexities of electoral politics will undoubtedly evolve. To ensure that the democratic process remains fair and representative, it is crucial to address the issues of financial inequality, media bias, and voter accessibility. By fostering transparency, strengthening electoral regulations, and encouraging inclusive policies, India can better navigate the intersection of capitalism and democracy, ensuring that the power of the ballot remains in the hands of the people, not just the powerful few.

References

Ahmad, A. (2004). *In theory: Classes, nations, literatures*. Verso.

Alam, J. (2007). *India: Living with modernity*. Oxford University Press.

Anderson, W. (2015). *The Indian ideology*. Three Essays Collective.

Bardhan, P. (1998). *The political economy of development in India*. Oxford University Press.

- Bhargava, R. (2012). *Politics and ethics of the Indian Constitution*. Oxford University Press.
- Chatterjee, P. (2004). *The politics of the governed: Reflections on popular politics in most of the world*. Columbia University Press.
- Chhibber, P. K., & Nooruddin, I. (2004). Do party systems count? The number of parties and government performance in the Indian states. *Comparative Political Studies*, 37(2), 152–187.
- Desai, M. (2007). *State formation and radical democracy in India*. Routledge.
- Desai, R. (2007). Developmental and democratic potentials of Indian capitalism. *Third World Quarterly*, 28(4), 767–780.
- Dey, S. (2021). *Neoliberalism and electoral democracy in India*. Sage Publications.
- Dreze, J., & Sen, A. (2013). *An uncertain glory: India and its contradictions*. Penguin Books.
- Frankel, F. R. (2005). *India's political economy, 1947–2004: The gradual revolution*. Oxford University Press.
- Harriss, J. (2009). *Power matters: Essays on institutions, politics, and society in India*. Oxford University Press.
- Hasan, Z. (2002). *Democracy in India: Issues and challenges*. Oxford University Press.
- Jayal, N. G. (2006). *Representing India: Ethnic diversity and the governance of public institutions*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Jaffrelot, C. (2011). *Religion, caste, and politics in India*. Primus Books.
- Jaffrelot, C., & Verniers, G. (2020). *India's 2019 elections: Towards a Hindu state?* Hurst & Company.
- Kaviraj, S. (2010). *The enchantment of democracy and India: Politics and ideas*. Permanent Black.
- Kohli, A. (2012). *Poverty amid plenty in the new India*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kothari, R. (1988). *State against democracy: In search of humane governance*. Ajanta Publications.
- Kumar, S. (2013). *Electoral politics in India: Resurgence of the Bharatiya Janata Party*. Routledge.

- Kumar, S. (2017). Electoral democracy and capitalist state in India. Sage Publications.
- Mehta, P. B. (2003). The burden of democracy. Penguin Books.
- Mitra, S. K. (2009). Politics in India: Structure, process and policy. Routledge.
- Palshikar, S., Suri, K. C., & Yadav, Y. (2014). Electoral politics in India: Resurgence of the Bharatiya Janata Party. Routledge.
- Roy, A. (2014). Capitalism: A ghost story. Haymarket Books.
- Ruud, A. E. (2000). Talking dirty about politics: A view from a Bengali village. *International Review of Social History*, 45(S8), 63–83.
- Shah, G., Baviskar, B. S., & Ramasamy, R. (2006). Untouchability in rural India. Sage Publications.
- Sinha, A. (2005). The regional roots of developmental politics in India: A divided Leviathan. Indiana University Press.
- Yadav, Y. (1999). Electoral politics in the time of change: India's third electoral system, 1989–99. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 34(34/35), 2393–2399.

Web References:

- Aiyar, S. S. A. (2019, April 8). How capitalism has helped India's poor. *Times of India*. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/blogs/Swaminomics/how-capitalism-has-helped-indias-poor/>
- Basu, K. (2019, April 15). India's economic growth and the politics of inequality. Project Syndicate. <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/india-economic-growth-inequality-by-kaushik-basu-2019-04>
- BBC News. (2019, April 11). India elections: How money plays a role in voting. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-india-47810713>
- Bhardwaj, A. (2020, February 5). Capitalism, elections and the new India. *The Wire*. <https://thewire.in/politics/capitalism-elections-new-india>
- Chakrabarty, B. (2014, May 6). Democracy and neoliberalism: India's political paradox. *Economic and Political Weekly*. <https://www.epw.in/journal/2014/18/commentary/democracy-and-neoliberalism.html>

Chatterjee, S. (2022, March 17). Electoral bonds and corporate influence in Indian elections. ORF. <https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/electoral-bonds-and-corporate-influence-in-indian-elections/>

Desai, M. (2021, March 10). Crony capitalism and India's democratic backslide. The India Forum. <https://www.theindiaforum.in/article/crony-capitalism-and-india-s-democratic-backslide>

Dholakia, R. H. (2018, November 1). How economic liberalization influenced Indian politics. Ideas for India. <https://www.ideasforindia.in/topics/governance/how-economic-liberalisation-influenced-indian-politics.html>

Election Commission of India. (2024). Election expenditure monitoring. <https://eci.gov.in/eci-backend/resources/handbooks/election-expenditure-monitoring-handbook/>

Express Web Desk. (2019, May 14). What are electoral bonds? All you need to know. The Indian Express. <https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/what-are-electoral-bonds-how-do-they-work-5720671/>

Ghosh, J. (2019, April 2). The cost of capitalism in Indian elections. Al Jazeera. <https://www.aljazeera.com/opinions/2019/4/2/the-cost-of-capitalism-in-indian-elections>

India Spend. (2019, April 10). More money, more power: Richer candidates win elections in India. <https://www.indiaspend.com/cover-story/more-money-more-power-richer-candidates-win-elections-in-india-51731/>

Joshi, D. (2023, January 5). India's election finance: Opaque and unequal. The Print. <https://theprint.in/opinion/indias-election-finance-opaque-and-unequal/1298879/>

Kapur, D. (2018, May 23). Why India's middle class votes for inequality. The Hindu. <https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/lead/why-indias-middle-class-votes-for-inequality/article23967412.ece>

Khara, R. (2021, August 9). The neoliberal state and its effects on electoral behaviour in India. Scroll.in. <https://scroll.in/article/1002124/the-neoliberal-state-and-its-effects-on-electoral-behaviour-in-india>

Kumar, A. (2022, April 5). How capitalism shapes political narratives in India. Economic and Political Weekly Engage. <https://www.epw.in/engage/article/how-capitalism-shapes-political-narratives-india>

Live Mint. (2019, April 17). India's elections are about money and muscle. <https://www.livemint.com/elections/lok-sabha-elections/india-s-elections-are-about-money-and-muscle-1555505746987.html>

Majumder, S. (2014, April 15). How caste and capital dominate Indian elections. BBC News. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-india-27031180>

Mander, H. (2020, December 22). The nexus between economic elites and Indian politics. The Wire. <https://thewire.in/politics/indian-democracy-economic-elites-harsh-mander>

Mehta, P. B. (2018, May 7). Democracy's capitalist underbelly. Indian Express. <https://indianexpress.com/article/opinion/columns/democracy-and-capitalism-underbelly-of-indian-politics-pratap-bhanu-mehta-5167951/>

Menon, N. (2019, April 20). Big business and Indian elections. News click. <https://www.newsclick.in/big-business-and-indian-elections>

NDTV. (2019, March 18). India's election spending to top \$7 billion: Report. <https://www.ndtv.com/india-news/indias-election-spending-to-top-7-billion-report-2009543>

Scroll Staff. (2020, March 17). Electoral bonds have helped BJP the most: ADR report. Scroll.in. <https://scroll.in/latest/955841/electoral-bonds-have-helped-bjp-the-most-says-adr-report>

Sinha, S. (2021, November 11). The political economy of voter choices in neoliberal India. The India Forum. <https://www.theindiaforum.in/article/political-economy-voter-choices-neoliberal-india>

Varma, S. (2022, March 25). Corporate funding and Indian democracy: A fragile equation. India Development Review. <https://idronline.org/corporate-funding-and-indian-democracy-a-fragile-equation/>