

## **The Influence of Gig Economy Platforms on Wage Dynamics Compared to Traditional Labor Markets**

Parin Sethi

GEMS Modern Academy

DOI: 10.46609/IJSSER.2025.v10i09.032 URL: <https://doi.org/10.46609/IJSSER.2025.v10i09.032>

Received: 4 September 2025 / Accepted: 20 September 2025 / Published: 27 September 2025

### **Introduction**

The gig economy remains perceived as a new kind of labor market where people engage for short periods and through apps. It impacts on wages and salaries, employment, and contractual status, and economic systems. Technology plays a critical role in facilitating short-term contracts that are relatively rare in the employment sphere. However, there are issues of income volatility, lack of social protection for employees, and labor freedoms (Jain, 2024). While gig platforms disagree with worker independence, they cultivate environments of precarity and dependence on algorithmic supervision (Schor, 2020). Pilatti et al. (2024) describe how power dynamics play out and how employees use social media to resist authority and negotiate a new balance of power. The paper explores the wage relationship of the gig economy with the conventional markets in terms of power relations, tendencies toward exploitation, economic impacts, and prospects.

### **Power Dynamics in Employment**

The gig economy platforms disrupt the employment relation, decreasing direct employer influence, and increasing flexibility. Jain (2024) explains that gig workers are independent contractors, but algorithms regulate their autonomy. It results in decision-making gaps and fosters dependency on the platform's guidelines. Pilatti et al. (2024) found that the workers resist control by using collective means such as networking to enhance bargaining and cooperation. These networks offer workers potential tools for counteraction to management control. According to Schor (2020), while the algorithms are effective in completing the task, they only make people more dependent on the algorithms and thus sustain the inequalities and the power relations existing in society. The workers have freedom, yet at the same time, they do have limitations, which makes them stir the feelings of freedom with no job security. Some experience an increase in their level of independence, while others struggle with issues of income insecurity and job insecurity. The algorithmic oversight also shuts down the flow of information and,

hence, the transparency of the decision-making process. Therefore, platforms have unequal power relations, thus why group action is important to address injustices. These dynamics explain why regulatory changes and policy measures which will make sure that the gains from innovations are distributed fairly, are so crucial.

### **Wage Volatility and Stability**

One of the primary reasons for this is that wages in the gig economy can vary greatly based on the tasks performed, as workers are only paid intermittently in the form of wages for the tasks they accomplish. According to Pilatti et al. (2024), how algorithmic systems work is that they allocate workers to tasks, which results in fluctuating wages. That is often the situation, and it prevents adequate revenue preparation and long-term stability for workers. Jain (2024) explains that the situation remains contrasting in traditional markets because in these markets salaries are most often predetermined and tenured. The employed in the traditional organization settings have. Access to certain legal rights and fixed pay frequency. Schor (2020) notes that gig workers lack bargaining power through which they can negotiate their working conditions, and they can easily come face to face with changes in policies by the platform. These shifts may affect their ability to find steady baskets and buckets of income. Such volatility is a problematic issue because it impacts overall functional solvency, wages, and sufficiently secure work assurances. Eradicating these problems requires legal solutions that ensure that gig workers are provided with earnings protection and other legal rights related to their employment.

### **Exploitation Risks**

The gig economy renders the workers open to unfair treatment through algorithms or policies that may be challenging to comprehend. For instance, Pilatti et al. (2024) point out that algorithms are deployed to seek to optimize the revenues of the platform while ignoring the welfare of the workers and fairness. The employees have marginal wages, and they are not clear on how they remain evaluated at their workplace. Schor (2020) argues that gig workers lack basic rights such as benefits and workplace protection, thereby making them economically vulnerable. Many of the platforms deprive workers of their fundamental labor protections by classifying them as freelancers. Further, Jain (2024) asserts that there must be well-formulated legal and regulated provisions that entail monitoring of exploitation and fair enforcement. There is little that the worker can do when an unfair decision is made because the process is not easy to understand, and the platform has all of the control. Such challenges require better protection of workers' rights and well-defined policies that can eliminate injustices. That means that policy changes should target income volatility, benefits, and independent contractor classification in order to protect workers from mistreatment and to guarantee reasonable treatment.

## **Economic Impact**

Gig work as a phenomenon changes economic actions as it moves the focus of production and creates opportunities for more individuals. Jain (2024) claims that in the same way, platforms generate employment, they also eliminate sectors and employment opportunities in those sectors. This shift affects the Employment Prospects for the Long Term, which reduces the stability and bargaining power. Pilatti and colleagues, in their study of 2024, reported that employment in collective networks results in wage rigidity and minimal wage differentials. Through such networks, one is able to share the resources, as well as negotiate for better wages and conditions among gig workers. Schor (2020) point out that there are gains through the platforms for businesses, cutting costs, and enhancing returns, but harming inequality. This approach results in increased efficiency, but at the same time, it reveals the aspect of equity in the distribution of wealth as well. On the one hand, platforms provide opportunities for innovation; on the other hand, they result in negative inequality and increase the precariousness of work. For these scenarios to be handled, equal opportunity policies, policies to address inequalities, and policies that promote decent work need to be formulated.

## **Employment Practices**

Gig platforms transform the type of employment and flexibility, but most employees do not have full-fledged contracts. According to Jain (2024), flexibility is appreciated by employees since the latter have preferences concerning working time. It continues the idea of the possibility of combining work and other responsibilities in day-to-day life. That aligns with Pilatti et al. (2024), who argue that algorithmic management means that no jobs are safe because the attention is on the work being done. Such management practices decrease the probability of permanent employment opportunities and, therefore, increase instability. Schor (2020) opines that gig workers receive no protection and are on their own to manage the risks of their work. They get different policies and unreliable salaries without guaranteed benefits. Thus, that is why, to overcome these challenges, it is possible to speak about the necessity of creating such regulations, focusing on curative flexibility, but at the same time paying much attention to the protection of consumers. Pre-establishment of rights, entitlements, and grievance mechanisms can help safeguard the workers while still maintaining the functioning of the platform.

## **Employee Benefits**

Gig work remains justified on the basis of flexibility and as a way of having an extra source of income. For instance, Jain (2024) emphasizes that the platform economy provides multiple existing work opportunities and encourages novices, entrepreneurs, and financially excluded people. Another benefit for the employees is increasing income and contacts, as well as the

opportunity to develop other types of income. Pilatti et al. (2024) shows that social networks empower workers and amplify their demands for improved rights and wages. These networks enable people to coordinate in undertaking group-related activities and ensure that their incomes are stable with the help of resources. It develops channels for learning, earning, and adapting to change, hence the underlying theme of survival. Another advantage of having a flexible working schedule is that one is in a position to attend to other matters in addition to professional obligations. There are still issues that need to be addressed, one of them being the absence of the rights and privileges that would come with the same. Enhancing worker support structures can further and equalize the possible positive aspects of gig work, like flexibility versus stability.

### **Policy Interventions**

The fight against wage insecurity and unfair wages requires social justice and legal measures and reforms. Jain (2024) suggests a balance between innovation and the workers' rights for their protection. Pilatti et al. (2024) highlight that collective bargaining may be seen as an answer to algorithmic governance and improving equality. That means that the employees need someone to claim for their better conditions of work and their rights. Schor (2020) also emphasizes equal pay, freedom of association, acceptable wage rate, recognized minimum standard wage, and initiative to undertake complaints. There is, however, a need for policymakers to ensure compliance with standard norms as a check on the risks inherent in the gig economy. In addition, there is a need to maintain active regulation that boosts the transparency and responsibility of platforms' management. It is possible to balance innovation with robust protection provision to create a stable and fair form of G gig work that optimizes growth without sacrificing workers' needs.

### **Conclusion**

The gig economy affects the manner of earning a living for employees and the performance of organizational activities in the current period. It offers a way of bending the schedule but, at the same time, places an employee in a position of possible financial compromise. Such employment practices modify and transform in the direction of independence and freedom even though they leave the workers vulnerable to exploitation. Algorithmic governance erodes bargaining power that translates into wages and employment uncertainty. Platform costs are lower, but platforms do not pass on their gains to their sellers, thus increasing economic marginalization. Currently, policymakers should set appropriate measures for the protection of wages, as well as the promotion of equity. Social networks contribute to increased quality, professionalism, and self-confidence of the employee in relations with co-workers. Therefore, more desirable and efficient methods should be employed in future investigations towards the assessment of fairness. Transparency and wages are two areas where changes have been made in order to reduce the risk

of exploitation. The goal is to bring the protection of the employees while at the same time enabling the organizations and, thus, businesses to grow and thrive in the market most conveniently and effectively as possible.

### **References**

- Jain, A. (2024). The gig economy: Disruption, innovation, and economic evolution. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 5133-5140. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.3755>
- Pilatti, G. R., Pinheiro, F. L., & Montini, A. A. (2024). Systematic literature review on gig economy: Power dynamics, worker autonomy, and the role of social networks. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(10), 267. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14100267>
- Schor, J. B. (2020). *After the Gig: How the Sharing Economy Got Hijacked*. University of California Press.