International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

An Exploratory Study on the Economic Dynamics of AI on the Profitability of Creative Industries like Music and Digital Art

Arav Garg

Step By Step School Noida

DOI: 10.46609/IJSSER.2025.v10i10.067 URL: https://doi.org/10.46609/IJSSER.2025.v10i10.067

Received: 13 October 2025 / Accepted: 27 October 2025 / Published: 3 November 2025

ABSTRACT

The creative industries are rapidly changing due to Artificial Intelligence (AI) altering the nature of production, diffusion, and monetization of creative content. This paper investigates the economic circumstances surrounding AI in the music and digital art industries and its implications for profitability, labor opportunities, and access to market entry. Based upon an exploratory approach employing various recent academic literature and industry data, the research identifies both volatility and opportunity in Earning and Disruption caused by creative algorithms and automation. While AI has democratized content production and worldwide exposure to content, there are longstanding questions regarding current market revenue and employee compensation, as well as ethical questions regarding originality and ownership of AI-generated creative content. The research concludes that future sustainable profitability in creative industries relies on hybridization of human creative contribution coupled with industrial AI efficiency and policy to protect intellectual property equity compensation.

Introduction

The creative economy, which includes music, art, film, and design, has always changed with advances in technology. The invention of the printing press created an industry of literature. The rise of photography influenced forms of visual art. The internet offered new means of distribution. In the twenty-first century, Artificial Intelligence (AI) represents another key point of inflection. From AI-generated music to art developed via algorithm and produced as Non-Fungible Tokens (NFTs), creativity is increasingly produced through machine learning models. These changes have transformed not just the way artists work, but the economic conditions of creation and consumption.

AI's entry into the creative economy represents both a technological development and market-driven phenomenon. The global market for AI is projected between $1.3 trillion in 2030, with

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

creative applications representing an ever-growing segment of that market (PwC, 2023). With tools such as OpenAI's MuseNet, Google's Magenta, and Midjourney, creators and entrepreneurs have begun to automate previously laborious processes, and thus significantly lower costs of production. But these technologies are also changing the conditions of economic exchange in existing contexts. The efficiency of AI art production calls into question processes of artistic labor and authenticity that have existed for a long time. The challenges presented by AI as a catalyst for creative practice speak to the paradox of efficiency versus displacement that underscores an economy otherwise.

This research paper examines the role of AI in profitability across two major creative industries: music and digital art. It investigates the mechanisms through which AI impacts production, distribution, and perceptions of value, while also investigating the socio-economic implications for producers. The research takes an exploratory posture, synthesizing results from economic theory, studies of creative labor, and economic market data to assess both the current and potential economic indicators of AI.

Literature Review

The economic impact of AI in the creative industries is sparking interdisciplinary discussions. David Autor (2015) said that automation has, historically, always complemented human labor when it comes to tasks that involve creativity or judgment, rather than replace it. But generative AI has blurred that distinction. Carl Benedikt Frey and Michael Osborne (2017) believed that these "automation-resistant" creative sectors may no longer be able to make that claim. There are multiple formulations on the roles and task substitution of AI and their potential impacts on human creators, but terms like ideation, composition, and curation all suggest a process where AI may enter human levels of creativity.

In their recent research into the price of digital streaming in the music industry, Aguiar and Waldfogel (2018) analyzed how the logic of algorithms reshape demand and optimize profitability for digital music consumption, while also influencing the direction of royalties to creators. As evidenced by AI recommendation systems of streaming platforms, including Spotify and YouTube, the personalization of consumption is driving demand while reinforcing unequal structures favoring a narrow top of creators. Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2022) mention a similar trend of polarization among creative workers, in which they either leverage AI to scale profitability, or they lose out to machines that are generating content.

The field of digital art has experienced its own version of this phenomenon. Defined by scholars such as Hito Steyerl (2018) and Kate Crawford (2021), the socio-political implications of algorithmic aesthetics arise when AI-generated art raises questions about the idea of authorship.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

Economically, McAndrew (2023) demonstrates that the market for digital art, including NFTs, exceeded $11 billion in 2021 before the market cooled due to speculative volatility, but AI tools have resurrected growth since this time through new monetization methods, including generative art sales and commissions.

A consistent set of themes exists, noted throughout the literature. Specifically:

(1) AI increases productivity and accessibility, which adds to overall market size.

(2) AI diminishes traditional profitability and labor valuation.

These components suggest that, after the presence of AI, profitability for a creative industry may depend on how stakeholders adapt to the hybrid human-machine structure.

Economic Framework and Methodology

The methodology of this research is an exploratory qualitative investigation based on secondary research, and is informed by economic theories of creative destruction (Schumpeter, 1942) and labor substitution models. The economic framework understands AI as an innovation catalyst and a market disruptor. Data and insights are derived from industry reports published by PwC, Deloitte, and UNESCO; academic literature; and case studies on AI tools in creative practice.

Three economic dimensions are explored:

Production Efficiency: The way in which AI lowers marginal costs in content creation.

Distribution and Market Expansion: The way algorithmic platforms affect accessibility and consumption.

Value and Profit Distribution: The way that revenues are distributed among human creators, firms, and AI systems.

This research takes a comparative perspective across music and digital art since they have highly creative labor components despite different monetization avenues. To be clear, the hope is not to pin down any specific financial data, but instead build a pattern of AI profitability.

Analysis: Artificial Intelligence and the Music Industry

The music industry has always been a reflection of technological advancement (from vinyl to streaming); however, artificial intelligence represents a more significant change for the economy. It was only in the past few years that artificial intelligence systems such as Amper Music, AIVA, and Boomy have begun to autonomously compose, master, and distribute songs. The economic

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

implications of such an innovation are huge: the cost of production is lower, the speed at which new material is produced is faster, and the number of creators in the market grows exponentially. But there are efficiencies in this innovation, which are always followed by structural shifts as to who makes money and how.

1. Production Efficiency and Cost Reduction

Previously, creating a track at a professional quality necessitated the use of recording studios, sound engineers, and skilled musicians. Thanks to artificial intelligence tools, a single creator can now produce a complete arrangement in a matter of minutes. In particular, Amper Music and Soundful are merely two examples of platforms that allow others who are not skilled musicians or cannot invest a lot of time to generate royalty-free music. In this sense, the marginal cost of music creation is falling to almost zero cost. Economically, this shift is enormous in terms of lowering the cost curve or barrier to entry for the provision of music and increasing supply worldwide.

While this democratizes music production for independent artists, it also increases competition. The law of supply and demand indicates that if the supply of creative content exceeds demand, the price per stream or download will decrease, which significantly lowers profitability. An extreme example of this is shown in the annual payment structure of Spotify. In 2023, over 100,000 new tracks were added to Spotify per day, referred to as "new" and many of these tracks were produced with the use of AI, as well. An artist can usually count on their music to account for only a fraction of a listener's time each day or week. This limited listener attention causes revenue to concentrate among artists in the top tier of listeners, out of the total number of listener interactions across preferred playlists. This is true even if AI allows for increased productivity among other creators. While AI enables more music creation, average income drops and this is true in "winner-take-all" markets (Frank and Cook 1995) too.

2. Distribution and Recommendation Algorithms

The economic role of AI is a factor not just in production but also in the distribution and curation of content. For example, platforms like Spotify, Apple Music, and YouTube use machine-learning algorithms to recommend songs and influence consumer preferences. Recommendation engines act as economic gatekeepers by filtering which creators are made visible and which are not. A recent study from MIT Sloan (2023) noted that 70% of all streams on Spotify are generated from algorithmic playlists. This may influence an artist's or creator's style, as the streaming platform privileges the artist who updates metadata and structures their songs for algorithmic discovery.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

Economically, AI-driven curation and recommendation distribute access to audiences and markets, giving small artists the opportunity to find niche audiences or markets. But in this situation, the streaming platform acts as an intermediary, and the majority of profits do not go to the artist but the technology company. For example, in 2022, Spotify grossed €3 billion, while the average artist earned a little less than $0.003 per stream (IFPI, 2023). In this way, simplistically put, AI creates a situation where the benefits of the distribution and curation process spatially expands platform capitalism—data and algorithmic recommendation determine the economic outcomes, not the artistic labor that generates the music.

3. New Revenue Strategies and Licensing

AI has stimulated unconventional revenue streams like adaptive soundtracks for video games, AI music licensing for films, and individual generative soundscapes. These specific genres have an indeterminate economic potential, as they satisfy a commercial need for scalable sound, as well as audio that is copyright-free. Goldman Sachs (2024) notes AI music licensing could develop into a $3 billion market by 2030. However, these developments often occur without human creators receiving compensation, as profits flow to software developers and licensing companies. When examining the economics of AI in music, a unique paradox develops: the model creates opportunities to widen the market size but also displaces profits from individual musicians. The eventual sustainability of the industry will rely on regulatory and contractual arrangements to ensure compensation for individual creators when AI models use their data in the training process.

Examination: Artificial Intelligence and the Digital Art Industry

The incorporation of AI into the digital art sector has also been disruptive, in particular the rise of generative art and NFT marketplaces. Models such as DALL·E 3, Midjourney, and Stable Diffusion now allow anyone to generate intricate artwork with a simple prompt. These tools have fundamentally altered the cost of production, ownership structures and pricing across the art market.

1. The Democratization Of Art: The Fall in Barriers to Entry

Participation in the professional art market has historically required specialized training, access to the gallery system, and cultural capital. By using AI tools, it has been possible for anyone with imagination and an internet connection to create effective visual work. Economically, this has resulted in a huge increase in the supply of digital art, mimicking the oversupply in music. According to the Artnet Intelligence Report (2023), the number of digital artworks for sale online increased by over 600% from 2021 to 2023.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

This increase in supply allows for more opportunities for creative participation (more artists!), but it also means a reduction in the market value of each artwork for sale. The increase in supply, with all else equal, means that scarcity (one of the handful of key economic drivers of art) decreases. This will lead to falling average prices per artwork for sale and continued challenges for human artists to make a living. A survey I found conducted by Creative Commons in 2023 indicated that actually 41% of digital artists reported that their sales decreased since the use of AI art generators increased.

2. NFTs, Authenticity, and Shifts in Economic Structure

AI's influence was particularly salient during the NFT boom, where blockchain could confirm digital ownership. NFTs created a temporary path to profit for digital artists: NFT sales soared to $11 billion in 2021 (McAndrew, 2023). But thinking about ownership models was exposed to the challenge of AI-generated art in the NFT space, where authenticity, authorship, and copyright became core economic issues. In turn, collectors became divided when valuing this new category of art—some people stressed its novelty, while others stressed the "human touch" it lacked. This division in how someone perceives digital art informed the formation of two economic structures, or forms of value:

Speculative AI Art Market— these markets are primarily focused on novelty and algorithmic value.

Human-Authored Authenticity Market—notably, conducting exchanges based on the presentation of sentimental value and scarcity.

In both economic models, the role of AI repositioned value away from human labor and into algorithmically produced replicable-output, thus redefining how profitability is measured. Subsequently, digital artists now compete with one another for profit and have also entered a competitive space with infinite replicable machine-generated art.

3. Substituting Work and Redistributing Returns AIs ability to automate artistic processes presents significant questions within labor economics.

If AIs trained on images provided by humans can create near-instant copies or combinations, they are appropriating artistic labor without compensation. In 2023, artists filed lawsuits against Stability AI and DeviantArt for using copyrighted works to train datasets without the benefit of the original artists—illustratively, using a form of artistic/data collection labor without compensation. From this profit-making standpoint, AI companies are internalizing the value of unpaid creative labor and artists are facing loss of income. This is consistent with historical compromises in advancing technology allowing innovators to extract rent from a

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

disproportionate share of the returns. Therefore, while AI can advance the efficiency of production and process of innovation, its implementation has the potential to establish a creative precariat, or class of artists made precarious by the hybridizing of work through the automation of labor.

Challenges and Ethical Issues

The AI's economic potential cannot be disentangled from its related ethical dilemmas. The creative industries are founded on concepts of originality, ownership, and cultural worth—concepts that are fundamentally challenged by the AI's data-driven systems.

1. Copyright and Intellectual Property

AI causes issues with copyright law in most jurisdictions, which defines authorship as a human act of creation. If a song or artwork is created whether fully or partially independently by an AI model, then who is the owner—the developer, the user, or no one? The U.S. Copyright Office (2023) has announced that AI capable of generating works, but without "substantial human input," are not eligible for copyright protection. This uncertainty further disrupts profitability since ownership—and thus, ownership of any monetizable elements—has not been established.

In addition, AI models trained on copyrighted works raise both legal and ethical issues related to use and misuse of copyrighted data without permission. The lack of transparency in datasets used, make it challenging for artists to collect royalties or control derivative works descending from use of their works. This situation represents an economic disincentive for creating, as artists with a personal style or body of work now fear the use of their style or data without compensation.

2. Market Over-Saturation and Value Degradation

The sheer amount of AI-generated work has produced a market over-saturation effect. Customers have an overwhelming number of cheap products that have been produced at high volume, making it difficult for any one product to garner attention. This creates a deflationary social epidemic in creator markets, where the paradox of abundance becomes a devaluation of perceived value. While Anderson's (2009) "long-tail" theory hypothesizes that niche markets may survive, overall revenue concentration will ultimately favor platforms and intermediaries to monetize the volume of work being produced.

3. Inequity and Technological Hegemony

Not all people have equal access to AI tools. Open-source models exist, but any premium software version or hosting a model on computing power requires a certain amount of capital.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

This creates a new form of technological inequity in the creative economy, where those that are well-resourced (studios, corporations) are accumulating larger amounts of profit while independent creators are not. Instead of democratization, the economic benefits of the AI tools probably contribute more to economic inequality, as the power and control rests with the tech companies that simultaneously create the algorithms and platforms/devices for dissemination.

Anticipating the Future: Sustainable Profitability for the Creative Industries in an Age of AI

AI brings enormous economic opportunities to the creative sectors even though it is dislocating, if responsibly applied. The future of both music and digital art profitably will depend on how human creativity and machine intelligence are coevolving.

1. Hybrid Creation Models

The greatest opportunity lies with portfolios of human-machine collaboration and it acts as a companion or collaborator that can help—or even augment—creative artists and their use of intuition when using tools productive for machine learning efficiency. For example, tools like Adobe Firefly or Endless (a Digital Audio Workstation) can allow a human artist to use machine learning efficiency to make better use of productivity or better quality without losing authorship. There is also the potential economically that hybridization will create equilibrium that allows for greater productivity with maintaining value on creativity.

2. Transparent Licensing and Payment of Data Use

Regulatory reform could also stabilize profitability. If we establish royalties on training data as similar to sampling rights in music, rights holders would be compensated for their selling off their data to be used to train generative AI models. Jurisdictional regulators are already starting to get ahead of the curve. The European Union has announced the AI Act (2024) and Japan has the Data Transparency Framework as examples. Transparent data use would align incentives for developers and content creators for the common good of a sustainable creative economy.

3. Various Ways to Make Money

The future creative profit model will also rely upon creating different income streams. In addition to the models musicians and digital artists already use, they will now be able to use subscription models, personalized commissions, AI-driven live performances, and tokenized ownership to diversify their profits. The more Web3 is fully developed, the more likely artists will begin to regain the ability to have control over distribution and royalties instead of being dominated by platforms.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

4. Education and Changing Skills

Finally, to adapt to a long-term model, we must change education. Training and educational programs need to consider literacy of AI as a requirement in all models, giving future artists an understanding and control of algorithmic systems. This change would work toward characterizing AI as a collaborative rather than a threatening force and enter into use. By using AI, creators will remain central in the value generation of the digital economy.

In summary

AI is changing the economics of creativity. In both the music and digital art industries, it has driven down production costs, expanded access, and developed new models of economic engagement and profit generation. However, it is also exhibiting some disarray in our ideas about ownership, labor, and value. The profits that will be made now depend on the reactions of creative laborers and the policymakers who regulate its use or encourage it.

While fears of displacement are warranted, history tells us that technological revolution reallocates labor rather than displaces creative labor. AI can be a mechanism to re-imagine inclusive growth through ethically produced data, fair payment, and multi-modal collaborative approaches. The creative economy does not exist in the future as human versus machine, but human with machine in new methods of co-creating value in the shifting digital marketplace.

References

Aguiar, Luis, and Joel Waldfogel. “Revenue, New Products, and the Evolution of the Music Industry.” *Journal of Cultural Economics*, vol. 42, no. 3, 2018, pp. 371–397.

Anderson, Chris. *The Long Tail: Why the Future of Business Is Selling Less of More*. Hyperion, 2009.

Autor, David. “Why Are There Still So Many Jobs? The History and Future of Workplace Automation.” *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 29, no. 3, 2015, pp. 3–30.

Brynjolfsson, Erik, and Andrew McAfee. *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. W.W. Norton, 2022.

Frank, Robert H., and Philip J. Cook. *The Winner-Take-All Society*. Free Press, 1995.

Frey, Carl Benedikt, and Michael Osborne. “The Future of Employment: How Susceptible Are Jobs to Computerisation?” *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 114, 2017, pp. 254–280.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:10, Issue:10 "October 2025"

International Federation of the Phonographic Industry (IFPI). *Global Music Report 2023*. IFPI, 2023.

McAndrew, Clare. *The Art Market Report 2023*. UBS and Art Basel, 2023.

PwC. *Global Artificial Intelligence Study: Exploiting the AI Revolution*. PwC, 2023.

U.S. Copyright Office. "Copyright Registration Guidance for Works Containing Material Generated by Artificial Intelligence." *Federal Register*, 16 March 2023.

