International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

BENEVOLENT DICTATORSHIP: AN ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY FOR AFRICAN STATES

Professor ETIM O. FRANK[1] and Dr. Ebenezer E. Ubeng[2]

[1,2]Dept of Public Administration, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria

DOI: 10.46609/IJSSER.2026.v11i08.034 URL: https://doi.org/10.46609/IJSSER.2026.v11i08.034
Received: 10 July 2026 / Accepted: 18 August 2026 / Published: 30 August 2026

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the study was to examined why the most naturally endowed of all continents in the world, in terms of natural resources, should contain the highest number of poor countries in relation to development. We adopted the descriptive approach in the investigation and the 'explanatory case-study technique *for data collection. We purposively studied five (5) countries as control. They were; South Korea under Park Chung Hee; China ruled by Deng Xiaoping, Singapore-Lee Kuan Yew, Chile with Augustos Pinochet, Rwanda-Paul Kagame. The in-depth documentary analysis provided data captured in table 1 which indicated development before and after their leadership. The result of the study, indicated that 'Advanced Strategic Leadership couched in 'Benevolent Dictatorship' which harnessed the natural resources of these countries lifted them to sustained development in which all other leaders after them have build on. These result showed significant comparison to the type of leadership the countries had before Benevolent dictatorship let them to development. This is taking place in Rwanda. The African continent is in need of 'advanced Strategic leadership wrapped in 'benevolent dictatorship' to uplift the continent onto the ladder of development. The submission of this study Africa need a Benevolent Dictator is in consonance with the Swedish IDEA institute findings which indicated that globally people are more inclined to benevolent dictators rather than uphold democratic values which does not generate development effectively. Benevolent dictatorship is the way out for the African continent.*

Background

The African continent is the only one crossed by the three lines that divide the world into three halves; the Tropic of Cancer, Tropic Capricon and the Equator. The consequences of the divisions is that all global climatic conditions are found in the continent. These climatic typologies infer that all types of crops cultivated anywhere in the world could be grown in the continent, respectively, thus, food insecurity should never have been an issue in any country in

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

the continent. However, this is not the case (Frank, 2021 p.4), with the food situation in the continent. The continent in all has 16 landlocked countries, with 14 of these having consistently low Human Development Index (HDI), while many others are deficient in one form of development or the other.

In the face of poor HDI, Africa's wealth cuts across crude oil to solid mineral endowment. . In terms of solid minerals, Africa seems as one of the most endowed. Estimates of African resources are on the whole tentative. Africa has 96 per cent of the former non-communist *world's* diamond, 60 per cent of its gold, 42 per cent of its cobalt, 34 per cent of its bauxite and 28 per cent of its Uranium. Africa's Iron reserves are probably twice those of the United States, and Africa's reserves of chrome are the highest in the world outside, of the (Committee of Independent States (CIS) or the old Soviet Union. Africa possesses about 60 per cent of Cobalt use in car batteries manufacturing and about 80 per cent of the global Coltan reserves used in all cellphones production (Frank, 2021). Almost every six-months, a country in the continent discovers crude oil The continents wealth in terms of crude oil, increases in geometric proportions. As Tanzania was discovering crude oil on the shore of Zanzibar, Mozambique, Kenya, Ethiopia and Uganda soon followed, all behind big players such as Nigeria, Mali, Ghana and Chad(Frank, 2014). Howbeit, that these enormous resource base have not translated into Aristotelian 'Eudomonia and John Stuart Mill's 'Utilitarianism?

African governments all claim to be democratic in governance which is associated with capitalism. At the same time, the semi-capitalist countries that lie on the periphery, such as the African states, require some form of 'dictatorship' to drive development. This is because it is more easy to accomplish this under a dictatorial government (Soros, 2004 p 190) than a democratic one that is responsive to the wishes of the electorates. The 54 countries in the African continent are actively semi-capitalist state and are unable to initiate development within the democratic framework to generate the greatest happiness for the greatest number in the continent. Why have they been incapacitated in the face of enormous resources. The continent should have no place for hunger arising from food scarcity given the fact that all types of crops cultivated elsewhere, could be grown in the continent. Africa should have been a net exporter of organic food to other continent rather than be the highest recipient of World Food Programme. The indicators of failures in the continent include extensive poverty, unemployment, and inequality, lack of self-reliance and intrastate wars. Consequently, African states remain the domain of high child death rates, malnutrition, out-of-school children with Nigeria having more than 15million children in this bracket, with high poverty and ignoble status of being the poverty capital of the world. We had alluded that many countries in Africa lay claim to be democratic, because they conduct regular elections, have multi-party system, uphold freedom of speech,

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

justice and participation in the electoral processes. Many of these states are resource rich ones, with endemic poverty and lack of development, ‘Dutch disease’.

The study found it extremely difficult to find a correlate between democratic values, utilization of abundance natural and human resource and development. It was anticipated that, free speech and regular elections would amount to demand for better schools, health care and accessible clean water. Empirical study revealed massive poverty, conflict, weak institutions and extensive inequality. According to the World Bank Poverty and Inequality Platform(2025), some of those living below the extreme poverty line of $2.15 per day include; DR Congo 85.3%, Mozambique 82.2%, Malawi 75.4%, Burundi 74.2%, CAR 71.6% and about 79 million Nigerians below extreme poverty bracket. Conversely, we found lower poverty figures in African states that are not ‘democratic,’ Egypt 33.5%, Rwanda 27.4%, Botswana 15.4% in a one-party governance system often associated with dictatorial regimes. They all indicated downward trend in poverty as a result of growth in services and infrastructure. Development is slow in democracies and rapid under Benevolent dictatorial regimes.

In the course of this study Tanzania discovered some of the world’s most valuable strategic minerals. These are *Neodymium* (Nd) and *Praseodymium* (Pr) two highly sought -after strategic minerals used in electric vehicles, wind turbines, smartphones, defense systems and renewable energy technologies(Ekanem, 2026). Tanzania’s position in the global race for critical minerals has by this grown considerably. What would become of the possession of these minerals?

Failures of Development Strategies

Development theories have never generated development, yet African ‘head of states have tried the following; (i) Import Substitution Industrialization (ISI), (ii) Export -Oriented-Growth (EOG) focused was on high Gross Domestic Product (GDP) as an insignia of development.(iii) Structural-Adjustment Programs (SAP), imposed by the ‘Washington Consensus Institutions’ to generate Globalization ‘the highest stage of sustainable capitalism to strive and the recently launched African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCTA) looking for a single market.

The failures of these strategies to generate adequate development came with several consequences, one of which was the December 17, 2010, ‘Arab Spring or Arab Awakening’ a protests and uprising against the failure of Government in a democracy to give birth to improved Human Development Indicators in Algeria. It led to the the overthrow of authoritarian regimes in Tunisia, Egypt and Libya. The other slant of these are the; Kenya Tax Reform Bill crisis, in Nigeria #ENBADGOVERNANCENOW protest which have only been suspended. In this clime, the government removed subsidy from Premium Motor Spirit (petrol) in order to deploy the resources to other areas. There have been several other taxes leading the citizens to ask for

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

accountability which is not forthcoming. In several other countries, Cote d'ivoire, the Sahel Africa, military coup d'etat had feature and in Southern Africa, wage rise demands in the mines have let to several protests and dead. All these amount to poor resource governance. The absence of development in the continent in the face of available resources could only be the failure of leadership, more specifically the absence of advanced strategic leadership couched in Benevolent dictatorship..

Benevolent Dictator: Conception

A Benevolent person would refer to one who is obsessed with the act of doing good or showing kindness. In Ethical studies, it is the 'principle of acting for others' benefit' knowing that in that good, one would also be a beneficiary. A benevolent Dictator in the context of this discourse is an advanced strategic leader who has a long term vision identifying a range of good social services and code of conduct necessary for the advancement of the people of a territorial state.The general good captured in policy are the rail through the institutions of the state, 'legislature, judiciary, public and civil service to obtain legitimacy for the policy as the state's program of action. The program gets executed in all the provinces, regions or states of the country in a unitary manner irrespective of its federal or any other political structure.

In this study, a benevolent dictator is an advanced strategic leader who has a long term development plan for the states in the continent, base on the conversion of the numerous natural resources converting into the greatest happiness for the greatest number of people whether they like it or not. Advanced strategic leaders, then ensure that those appointed to manage the administrative structure of the state, share his vision and ensure that the vision is converted into tasks administered to the larger society through the Ministry, Departments and Agencies of the state. The element of dictatorship is derived from his ability to have his way through the institutions of the state, to generate the greatest public good for all. This is the conception of benevolent dictator in the context of this study against the backdrop of flopped 'democracy' claimed by all the states with no public good generated.

According to Kholi (2004) in a 'coherent-capitalist states (South Korea) characterized by a cohesive 'rational-legal' central state with fairly clear distinction between the public (state) sector and the private enterprise a benevolent dictatorial leader was required'to guide the economy through National Development Plan or strategies(Frank,2016 p199). The success of this state rests on leadership with a vision and commitment to drive the vision through irrespective of others ideas common in a democracy.

The leader herein canvassed is one who is highly educated who would arrogate absolute authority to himself for benevolent reasons couched in nationalism, and force these through

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

institutions of governance system for the benefit of the entire society. A Benevolent Dictator would emerge to take the country out of the economic crisis, display charismatic solutions to the economic malaise, weaken the institutions to have his way, control the media narrative and repress opposition to drive the benevolent agenda for all in the state.

The Principle: Raison d'etre

The relevance of the principle of Benevolent Dictatorship to African development is embedded in the 'warrior Tradition' in Africa. What we mean is that;

i) In no state, traditional or modern, was the military totally divorced from the political culture. The warrior, in a traditional society, was a political animal, who knew that the surest means of achieving state power was through the agency of the warrior. This is true of a good number of precolonial states, which were conquest states(Mazrui,1977 p 23). As he led the conquest, he becomes the King, thus, the ruler and the soldier were often fused in one person the benevolent king. In this regard, we recall Mansa Musa, Sundiata of old Mali, Songhai, Zulu, Bunyoro Kitara, Buganda, Oyo, Kikuyu and other kings of African empires respectively.

ii) Initiation into adulthood for every young male often, included initiation into martial virtues making the polity a war ready one. Because the men fought wars, it was they who reaffirmed the right to decide between war and peace (Mazrui, 1977 p 3). As Professor Mazrui submitted, the kings and his officials were commanders of their people in war and political leaders in peace. A king was usually a great warrior , and his officials were appointed, in large measure, as a result of their military valour. The splendour of old Ghana, Oyo, Benin Kingdom, Songhai and Mali empires have been recorded by great historians, meaning they achieved great development in these empires.

The near absence of development in the continent vis-a-vis the available super natural resources therefore constitute the context and the basis of the problematic of this study.

The Problem

The problematic of this study focused on the paradox of a rich continent which contains the highest number of the poorest people and nations. It is a fact that the West and Europe derive the resources needed to power airplanes, cellphones, computers and several type of engines from Africa. Why should the possessors of such critical minerals remain highly underdeveloped, if it is not the absence of advanced strategic leadership?

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

The second paradox is that of a rich continent with the largest concentration of states with the lowest Human development Indices(HDI). Thirdly, it is the issue of autocratic but selfish leadership which account for the inability to convert the ‘wealth of the nations into the ‘greatest happiness for the largest number of people’ in the continent. These paradoxes could only arise from the pathology of autocratic but selfish governor which is dominant in the states in the continent.

The issues in these failures surround the fact that most leaders in Africa lay claim to democratic governance while indeed they are autocratic and selfish governors. These pathologies are simply failure of the current type of leadership and the absence of advanced strategic leadership which would have converted the wealth of the continent into the form of human development described by Dudley Seers in his famous three (3) set of questions and Amartya Sen as freedom of choices.

The consequences of the leadership failure in the continent in the face of available wealth of the nations results into the continent being known for failures in all ramifications of human development such as; high mother and child mortality high poverty rates, low HDI, poor economic outcomes, conflict, endemic diseases, poor social and physical infrastructure, high debt rate as well as highest recipient of aid and the world food program donations. This is the failure of democratic, autocratic, and feudal leadership styles prevalent in the continent. The continent therefore is in search for the form of leadership which is able to transform the wealth of the continent to outcomes which could be termed‘ development as freedom’. This would mean the ability of the citizens to have the right of choices from the wider ranges of goods and services that would be created out of the enormous natural endowment of the continent.

The second arm of the problem is the predominance of ‘gerontocractic' governance which often personalizes or captures the state for ‘self and cronies’ to the detriment of the entire society. The governing elites, pursue the form of ‘development’ which are often out of touch with prevailing notion of development held by the younger generation. The situation amounts to resistance to innovation, high prevalence to short term gains, disconnect with the aspiration of younger generation to get along with the current trend of global development. The Cameroon, Uganda, Zimbabwe, Benin Republic and many more across the African continent are typical of the preponderance of this problem. In many instances governance become monarchical, transiting from father-to-son. What often emerges as outcomes and impact of governance in these situation is prebendalism which excludes a large number of the citizenry in the development calculus. Given the nature of the problematic, it is then the postulation of this study that Benevolent dictatorship as conceptualized, above remain the alternative development strategy for the continent.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

This type of leadership canvassed herein, would conceive a long term plan, harness the human and material resources to take the welfare of the people to another level. The philosophy of this form of leadership would rest on the principle of governance defined by Marcus Tullius Cicero in the maxim '*Sallus-Populi-Suprema-lex estos'*(Carlyle & Carlyle, 1932) where the welfare of the people would be the supreme law pursue by the state in a nationalistic fashion. Many claim that some kind of dictatorship is needed to get economic development going. Economic development requires, the accumulation of capital and that, in turn, requires low wages and high savings rates. This is more easily accomplished under a benevolent dictatorship a system of government where the country is administer as a unitary state.

This is one way to up the African Human Development Index (HDI) where only 14 percent of the countries are able to reach the 0.737-0.848 threshold of HDI while the majority remained in the medium HDI category? There are ten (10) poorest nations in the world, nine (9) of them are in Africa. There are 26 low-income economies in the world, 23 of which are in Africa, making 43 percent of African states. This is abysmal.

The situation points to the failure of governance, and democratic philosophy which the rulers of the continent claim to be the directive principles of state policy in Africa. Why would other continents that are not as endowed as Africa make so much progress, and Africa remains in underdevelopment with enormous resource endowment?

Another dimension of the problem is that most African regimes exhibit extreme autocratic tendencies Consequently, most Africans if not all, are loosing faith in democratic institutions as indicated by the Swedish-IDEA(2024) research outcome, which indicated that in most countries of the world, people are favorably dispose to strong leaders who do not need to border with parliament or elections. This could only be preference for Benevolent dictatorship, to drive the continent into a stage of self-sustained development.

The objectives of this study

The specific aims of the stud were**;**

i) To examine the leadership vacuum in Africa and its component states.

ii) To evaluate a continent with states with the most critical elements for development yet consists of the poorest nations in the world..

iii) To determine how a state could move into the stage of sustained development.

iv) To evaluate how State-led development strategies laid foundation for Gross Domestic Product growth in the economy.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

v) To established that African states, require a benevolent dictator to catapult the continent into ‘take-off stage’ of development.

vi) To ascertain that the continent is in short supply of advanced strategic and benevolent dictators.

The Investigative Questions

In this study we set out to postulate and evaluate the following analytical questions;

i) What would have accounted for the leadership vacuum in the African continent with few exceptions?

ii) How be it, that Africa has deposits of very critical minerals in the world, yet it consists of the poorest countries in the world.?

iii) What are the determinants for progression of a state to a stage of sustained development.

iv) State-led development strategy could lead to increase GDP under an advanced strategic leader who is a Benevolent dictator.

v) A benevolent dictator is the developmental catalyst to catapult African continent into a ‘take-of-stage of development.

vi) A benevolent dictator is a categorical imperative for development in the continent, but Africa is in deficit of it.

The Approach of the study

The approach of the study was descriptive research design which in the main sought to describe the current state of the phenomenon. Identify patterns or trend in the behaviour of the population and sought to generate baseline data on the situation in order to serve as the starting point for further studies of the situation.

The research was designed to help in establishing who, what where and how a situation came to be or would change to without obstructing the variables which accounted for changes in the opinion, attitude and behaviour of the population(Ndiyo, 2005). The adopted component of this research design for data collection was the ‘case-studies’ approach. It enabled one to conduct detailed study of a particular case in order to unearth hidden trend or pattern of behaviour. Consequently, the ‘explanatory case-study approach’ focused on ‘how and when’ development occurred under a system, which did not allow participation and the operation of other democratic values in its system.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

The substance of 'explanatory case-study' utilized was to explicate why and how these various benevolent autocratic leaders adopted the approach they did in executing their visions in the various polities. The concern was on 'cause and effect' relationship in the societies which they occurred. Multiple themes within the case studies were evaluated to draw the data which were triangulated and utilized here (Yin,2014). These ranges, from the duration in office, the background of the 'dramatis-personae, nature of political structure, economic system before and after their tenor output and impact on poverty, unemployment, inequality and self-reliance. This approach provided answers to the social, political and economic questions as to what happened and why? Five countries were purposively selected as sample for the 'explanatory-case-study'. These were China under Deng Xiaoping, South Korea-Park Chung Hee, Chile-Augustos Pinochet, Singapore of Lee Kuan Yew and Rwanda in the rulership of Paul Kagame.

Data Acquisition Tool

Data acquisition tool consisted of 'explanatory case-study' checklist of themes. Through the instrumentality of the documentary analysis of the various cases, we sought (i) development data in the country-by-country cases before the ascendancy of the authoritarian regimes and after. (ii) We identified the institutional factor which accounted for the sustained changes achieved. (iii) We interrogated why politically dissenting opinions were not tolerated and (iv) isolated the variables which accounted for the increased Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), in these economies vis-a-vis domestic production of food. (vi) We then evaluated the educational background of the leaders. (vii) We identified what stood them out from others and why Eurocentric scholars referred to them as autocratic or authoritarian leaders. All case-studies were examined along this framework to isolate pattern or trend common to 'benevolent dictatorial regimes if any. We proceeded to vorage extensive literature for secondary data to firm u the findings and adopted the findings in the analysis which follows.

Literature Review

Gibson and Milhaupt(2010) in their piece 'Economically Benevolent dictators: lessons for developing democracies' seemed to have rationalize the essence of 'authoritarian' regimes, which is herein referred to as 'benevolent dictators' because of their tendency to generate extensive public good for the citizenry. They averred, that while development theories have not produced development, autocratic regimes have transformed their economies with deficiencies in other context.

To begin with, the work identified several variables which rationalized 'benevolent dictatorship' to include (i) their long duration of stay in the office to superintend over their concept and style of development. Development often comes in the long term, hence, they would remain in the

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

office to see the impact of the development policies. Consequent upon this fact, Park Chung Hee, South Korea, stayed in office 18 years (1961-1979). Augustos Pinochet, Chile, 17 years(1973-1990), Deng Xiaoping, China, 11 years(1978-1989), and Paul Kagame (Rwanda) 26 years and still counting.

Cumulatively, this was 15 years average, which no democratic constitution would permit, thus, no meaningful development could take place in the maximum eight (8)years which the most elastic democratic regime can allow. Also revelation by this literature was the political structure of the state under these leaders. The political regimes were basically 'one-party system which does not tolerate democratic rascalism. Thus, politically divergent views were not tolerated. The structure was like the 'the iron law of oligarchy' where the top was narrow while the economic base was broadened. In-spite of this, the system allowed substantial economic diversification and market driven investments. This attracted Foreign Direct Investment on extensive incentives.

In addition, the dictators in these countries allowed relatively independent judiciary resolve investment problems and even business court sessions to resolve investment conflict, amicably, they would receive arbitration through the judiciaries. At the same time, there wont be regime change which may bring in a government that may 'expropriate their profits. The judiciary was free, hence, investors confidence of their investment were guaranteed. Further more, their long years in office served as guarantee for the foreign direct investment by overseeing the economic policies exfoliate. No democratic government would provide such guarantee because of short duration. This explain the numerous investments from liberal capitalist countries flowing into states govern by benevolent dictators. This is because in democratic dispensation, a new government with new policies could wipe away the profits of investors in policy changes. This is the latent manifest explication for the Asian miracles.

Moreover, these dictators pursue nationalistic economic development policies above personal enrichment, hence, their tight control of the political realm of governance from where ensue all public policies.

This is the make up of benevolent dictators, however, not true of Africa with few exceptions such as in Rwanda. Benevolent dictators in the words of Paul Roemer(cited in Gilson & Milhaupt 2010) have taste for nonexcludable goods' that create 'Pareto-optimality' for everyone. The outcomes in these economies were not by luck but by the initiatives of advanced strategic leadership, aided by institutional leadership. In conclusion, these dictators were aware how to enter the global economy as active participants. They decided what to produce for the global market and what to keep protecting in the domestic economies.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

They identified the drivers of economic development in these states to include; ability to protect investment in the long term which democracy is unable to do; future government in democracies could descend into rent seeking, these government operate in the economic realm through State-Own-Enterprise, they all created Free Trade Zones with very high ease of doing business and low taxes and other incentives to attract foreign capitals.

Trocki (2006) traced the style adopted for the transformation of Singapore to the colonial foundation which had tight political control over the environment in a one-party system. All public policies, political and economic flows from one source. It permitted open and liberal economic base, supervised and control by one party political structure. The liberalization of the economic base attracted multinational corporations into the economy, which operated along side State-owned and other private enterprises.

To enhance economic development, Lee Kuan Yew created 'Free Trade Zones (FTZ) with attractive incentives to Foreign investors with liberal conditions for 'Return-on-Investment (ROI). There was effective control of corruption to produce clean government, discipline which can hardly be achieved under liberal democracy

The culture of control was the central theme of the literature, drawn from the colonial era. The state under Lee Kuan Yew became tightly controlled and he extended it over 'public behaviour, labour, education, media, housing and political participation'. The society became organic and a disciplined one with less tolerance of corruption, thereby avoiding 'arrested development' in the application of public resources. Trocki concluded that strategic and institutional leadership aided by discipline bureaucracy with less tolerance of corruption produced the result that is globally referred to as 'From Third World To First, the Singapore Story'.

Vogel and Esra(2011) on Deng Xiaoping narrated how he upturned Mao's Socialism and instituted modernization and open economy in a Communist state. Deng focused on economic diversification and well- being of the citizens with little attention to ideological purity. He showed considerable leadership with political rigidity while allowing economic pluralism and liberalization in a one-party political control. This style of Political leadership paid-off after he sited five Industrial Free Trade Zones with tax incentives with high percentage of profit repatriation on foreign investment. Each Province in China was given a quota of what to produce to boost the national economy. Provincial governors were incentivized, after meeting their quota of production, they were allow to sell the excess products and keep the proceeds.

Deng had good education in France and opened up the once closed economy to foreign investment and export oriented industrialization. The economy remained state directed socialism with liberal economic base. Agriculture, industrialization, science and technology, national defense sectors received reforms. He frowned at western styled multiparty democracy because it breeds indiscipline.

Vogel and Ezra concluded the text by noting Deng's economic successes to include; increased Gross Domestic Product-economic growth, extensive reduction in poverty, transforming traditional sector of the economy into advanced system. Deng showed the feasibility of benevolent dictatorship through unified one-party system and economic liberalization and disciplined control of the state.

Sater & Collier (2022), in their discourse on Chile under Pinochet, captured the essence and the focus of this study in the 13th chapter where the issue of authoritarianism was analyzed. The background indicated that Salvatore Allende had won election and nationalized private assets based on his professed Socialism. The society was polarized and became the excuse for externally induced military intervention. The difficult economic situation arising from Allende's action were externally induced by those who were not please with his policies. Pinochet converted the military high command into a one-party system without tolerating dissenting opinions.

The Pontifical Catholic University of Chile in conjunction with the University of Chicago had jointly produced enormous human capacity for Chile who specialized in market and monetary economy. They became advisor to Pinochet and helped him to produce economic policy blueprint contained in *'El Ladrillo.'* 'The Brick', which had the semblance of the 'Washington Consensus'. It transformed the economy, privatized State-Owned-Enterprise, liberalized trade and deregulated industries, accompanied by fiscal austerity. The outcome became known as the *'Chilean Miracle*' because of the degree of economic growth attained. Chile under undemocratic Pinochet received considerable support from the USA for the neoliberal economic policies. There were positive impacts in all areas of development during Pinochet's tenure. The sources of literature which attested to the functionality of the system were all Eurocentric. This should be noted that there could be some over assessment.

Scholars such as Cha & Pardo (2023), decided to treat *North and South Korea* together. While the North went the Communist way, the South went liberal democratic capitalist form. Both presented different degree of development. In the first place, they are all governed by one-party authoritarian government but with differential outcomes. South Korea directed its economy towards export-oriented industrialization, and participated effectively in the global economy. It got the USA security backing just as it gave to Pinochet in Chile. Park Chun Hee formed development alliance with the *'Chaebol',*(State Corporatism) *Samsung/Hyundai conglomerate*. Park was a strategic and paternalistic leader who guarded South Korea along his vision of the state. He practiced political restriction intolerant of opposition for stability and growth, but

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

opened the economy for multiple actors on liberal terms. Park achieved enormous successes, reducing poverty, unemployment, inequality and greater rural development. Human development indicators grew greatly under him.

Kinzer (2008), presented Paul Kagame as the architect of post-Genocide Rwanda, a well trained military officer full of discipline. He had a long term vision for modern Rwanda. The tension which erupted in 1994 originated from the colonial exercise, the Apartheid system practiced by Belgium. It generated a lack of trust between the ethnic Hutus and Tutsis. Kagame was able to lead the Rwanda Patriotic Front to recapture Rwanda. He reconstructed state's institutions to drive his vision through and incorporated indigenous institutions such as the *'Ubudehe' and Imihigo'*(these bodies complement one another in Rwanda. While Ubudehe conduct community need assessment, Imihigo creates Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for the achievement of the needs by government). He thereafter made the modernization of the economy a priority while frowning at corruption but highlighting accountability from a centrally planned government.

In all, Kinzer averred that Rwanda had seen 'leadership, nation and state building' in Kagame who has effectively reconstructed the state. It is a case of collapse, reconstruction and sustained rapid growth. Today, poverty is low while a greater percentage of the rural population have access to electricity, healthcare and higher school enrollment. Life expectancy is estimated at 67-68 years with a major reduction in infant mortality rate. Rwanda has gained about 20+ years of life expectancy. There is a significant improvement in income, health, infrastructure and governance.

The various literature and cases indicated the followings; (i) advanced strategic leadership which had over ten (10) years development plan for the country. (ii) Initiation of State-led development through intervention in various sectors of the economy (ii) Educated leadership led the various states (iii) Reformed bureaucracies to keep pace with the leadership without out-sourcing activities. (iv) Discipline with less tolerance of corruption (v) Data driven development in a one-party state but with plural economic base that were incentivized.(vi) The present of a central bureau which directed investment and locations. The scholars were in unison of all these without differences in their analyses. They however failed to state that all these achievers were 'benevolent dictators,' and at a democratic cost, loss of individual democratic freedom for development. This raises the debate of wanting development at the expense of democratic freedom or otherwise.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

Theoretical Framework: Developmental State and advanced Strategic leadership

The theoretical foundation considered apt to explain, firm-up, draw connections to the issues and to make predictions was the developmental state, and advanced strategic leadership. This is because one theory incentivized the other.

Chalmer Johnson(1982) supported by Peter Evans(1995), Robert Wade(1990) and Alice Amsden(1989) collectively evaluated the role of Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI), and their roles in directing the pattern of inflow of industrial investment in Japan. The role of the ministry was complemented by a strong, competent and advanced strategic leadership which outlined long term plan for Japan, and directed investment in all sector to ensure the implementation of the details of the development plan through the bureaucracy and other institutions of the state. It looked over the shoulders of organizational leaders who managed the Ministries Department and Agencies (MDAs)..

Peter Evans(1995) affirmed that the efficacy of the strategy was because the states institutions had '*embedded autonomy'* which enabled them to drive development objectively without being prompted irrespective of paternalistic pressures. Robert Wade(1990) on the other hand, submitted that it is a situation where the state deliberately directed the economy in accordance with the leader's vision. They directed the form of credit allocation, import protection, export promotion, technology acquisition and investment coordination. Alice Amsden(1989), attributed the astronomical rise of Japan not to liberal economic pluralism, but rather to strong state intervention in different sectors. South Korea coordinated its industrial growth, promoted exports, protected infant industries, allocated credits to performing industries and *chaebols* and generally directed the pattern of investment in the economy.

These were complemented by advanced strategic leadership who envisioned the future, devised long term plans, mobilized resources and ensured state institutions functioned in line with the plan. Developmental successes are often driven by advanced strategic leadership in all cases studied, and these produced the results captured in Table 1 below.

Presentation of Data and analyses

Data drawn from the Case- study design, were sourced from each country's governance at the leaders under scrutiny in the studies. The data are herein presented thematically showing the situation before and after the ascension of the benevolent dictators to governance in Table 1 below.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

Table 1: Summary of Baseline Indicators and Development Case-studies

Baseline Indicator Development

Comparative Baseline Data - Rwanda | Singapore | China | South Korea | Chile

Country	Period	Key Theme	GDP per Capita (Before)	GDP per Capita (After)
Rwanda	Pre-1994 → 2025	Post-genocide recovery & institutional reform	US$112–187	US$1,000–1,070
Singapore	1959–60 → Present	Third World to First - LKY era transformation	US$428	US$11,862–12,766
China	Post-1978 (Reform era)	Centrally planned but market economy	US$1,566	US$6,000+ (~US$61,000 PPP)
South Korea	1961–1979	Agrarian to industrial giant under Park Chung-hee	US$79–82	US$1,600–1,700
Chile	1973–1980 → Post-1990	Pinochet-era shock therapy - market liberalisation with human rights trade-offs	High inflation, ~500%	12% unemployment; trade liberalised

Data sourced from Booth & Mutebi (2012), Lee KY (2000), Vogel (2011), Kim & Vogel (2011), Edwards (2023).

Source: Frank 2026

Table 1: Analysis

Column (i) Table I is made up of four (4) columns and five (5) rows which indicate the baseline data of the countries in the case-studies before and after the ascension to power of the leaders, and the development they brought forth in their various countries.

(ii) These countries were used to illustrate the efficacy and effectiveness of the theories and postulations in this study.

(iii) The next three (3) columns indicate periods present data for development at the ascension to political authority of the various leaders who emerged in these countries. They were; Deng Xiaoping (China), Park Chung Hee(South Korea),Lee Kuan Yew(Singapore), Augustos Pinochet (Chile) and Paul Kagame(Rwanda).

(iv) The fourth (4th) column to the right, indicate the outputs/outcomes and impact of their governance, the use of power to generate development. Visible to the eyes are glowing indicators of development arising from the governance and management of the economy.

Latent Manifest factors: The other factors from the table which are not visible to the human sight but revealed by the study included; (a) these leaders were highly educated personages which enabled them to think-through with their ideas of development.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

(b) They were visionary leaders who had long term plan of development for their countries and abhored corruption or 'arrested development' exceedingly. In terms of administration, they choose to develop their bureaucracy into a functional one with reduced hierarchy. They made imbued the bureaucracy with 'embedded autonomy' thereby making it response to development with very little out-sourcing of roles.

© The leaders all belonged to the category of leadership known as advanced strategic leadership, characterized by patriotism, long term plan, strategic foresight, bravery and firmness emotional intelligence, discipline, leadership by example, and prolonged stay in the office, to drive public policies to their impact level.

(d) The operated 'developmental state theory' in the administration of the state within the framework of a one-party -state, in order to avoid the indiscipline associated with liberal multi-party system, with a bureau of central planning of the economy.

(e) They however, allowed a liberalized economic system, with robust State-Owned-Enterprises in operation, along with Multinationals corporations and private initiatives supported by the state in a 'corporatism *(Chaebol*) manner.

(f) Finally, they possessed magnificently freed judicial system with the ability to mediate, arbitrate and allow investment disputes to be settled. This and the long years of the rulers meant there would not be policy somersault and investment security is guaranteed. Among other attractions for investment in these states are, ' visa on arrival, low taxation, ability to repatriate profits, availability of infrastructure and human capacity served as pushed factors into the economies. These translated Singapore like others from third world to the first world category in terms of positive development indicators. As contained in the column titled 'after' which describes the degree of improvement scored by these leaders in their governance. It showed positive indicators for all the countries with a high cost of human and political right. The score sheet for each country is presented in 'Appendix 1-5 respectively.

Validation of the study objectives

i) Education: It was revealed that the leaders in the five cases examined had minimum of university education and higher degrees in some cases. In China, Deng Xiaoping received university education in France and another at the 'Sun-Yat-Sen University in Moscow (USSR), and administrative experience within the Chinese Communist Party before he assumed leadership of the country. South Korea's Park Chung Hee, was trained as a teacher and he later received advanced military training in colonial Japan. He came into government in 1961 through a coup d'etat and brought military discipline into governance. He later transmuted into a civilian president in 1963, and sustained discipline in governance. Chile's Augustos Pinochet was a professor of military geopolitics and military geography. Singapore's Lee Kuan Yew was a first class graduate of Law, with postgraduate qualification from the London school of Economics and Political science. Rwanda's Paul Kagame attended Makerere University in Uganda and many other honorary qualifications. His military discipline and advanced military training at the US. Army Command and General Staff College or 'America's School of War,' provided advanced qualifications. In all education broadened their minds to create strategic plans.

ii) Tenure in Office*:* Deng Xiaoping stayed in office for 14 years, Lee Kuan Yew 31, Park Chung Hee, 16, Augustos Pinochet 17 and Paul Kagame 26 years and still counting. These are duration which no constitutional democracy can guarantee. Thus, most democratic regimes do not stay long enough to nurture their public policy generate the impact intended.

iii) Political Structure. In one-party benevolent dictatorship. These men emerged on the political scene in the heat of the cold war, and learned from the past. At their time, the world was divided into two ideological camps, the East and the West. The 'Spirit of Bandung' was just emerging but not strong enough till about 1961. These leaders decided to be *Nonalign* but pull and fused the values of the East/West into what became known as the 'developmental state theory', for socioeconomic and political development. while remaining neutral to the prevailing influences. The strategic idea was; (i) to adopt one-party system for inclusion of everyone in a nation that was just emerging from colonialism without the luxury of both 'nation and state building'. (ii)This would void the indiscipline and political rascality associated with western styled democracy(as it is currently the case in Nigeria, South Africa, DR Congo and any African states). (iii)Liberalize the economy so that you can take advantage of foreign investment(FDIs), attract strong private conglomerate (Corporatism) in what is known as *chaebol* in Asia. In Nigeria, it would be aligning economically with Dangote, BUA, Ibru and Rewane) with extensive State-Owned Enterprises. All these under the direction of a centrally planned economy which dictate where to invest. (iv) Strengthen bureaucratic institutions for autonomy with functional judiciary to guarantee arbitration in business conflict and secure financial investment. These countries under these leadership ended up with unified political structure with pluralist economic base. This was the structure in all the cases examined.

iv) Advanced strategic leadership (ASL). Deng Xiaoping initiated a long term vision to transform China from agrarian economy to a modern one, within a communist political structure of one party system and a market economy. His incentivized Free Trade Zones

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

and effective judiciary marked his Advance Strategic Leadership status outstanding till this day.

Lee Kuan Yew who is often regarded as the best example of advance strategic leader have transform Singapore from a resource poor to a first world state through his strategic vision. He accumulated human capital and governed through a one party political system. He was intolerant of opposition and achieved development at a high democratic cost.

Park Chung Hee on his part had a long term plan-vision to lift poor agrarian state into the industrial age, which he achieved through his Five Year Economic Development Plan. He achieved the transformation of the Korean economy through a one-party political system but with a liberalized economic system which attracted Foreign investment. He reduced rural poverty through conscious' '*Saemaul Undong'* rural program technique.

Augustos Pinochet-possessed a clear vision within the framework of his long term plan for Chile. He fused political power in a one party system but introduced neoliberal economic system which opened up the economy, privatized State-owned enterprises. He was intolerant of opposing political views. The success of his transformation was at a hugh political cost in human right.

Rwanda's Paul Kagame is regarded as African advanced strategic leader because of his clear vision 2020 and 2050. He restored Rwanda and build the nation through strategic planning. Corruption is a high crime while political competition is not openly allowed. He has modernized the economy. He adopted the developmental state paradigm to grow the economy. All these are not true of African leaders.

Discussion of Findings

One of the critical issues the study sought to find answers was the acute absence of advanced strategic leadership in Africa. The continent has abundance of 'Head of Governments' who are aged and lack stamina and strategic vision, due to limited or lack of education, hence, operating with 'protected ignorance'.

Given the colonial histories of the countries in the case-studies, we arrived at the fact that since the years of leaders such late Patrice Lumumba of now DR. Congo, Kwame Nkrumah, Julius Nyerere of Tanzania, who were killed by externally motivated mutinies strategic leadership spirit evaporated in the African continent. This created leadership vacuum, filled by, selfish autocratic leaders with less education, operating on a continuum of 'protected ignorance' hence, the stagnation of the continent in the face of abundance resources.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

This explicates the absence of a determined person with a vision ready to right the wrongs of the society over a long term, to achieve utilitarianism. The vision to change the society would extend to institutional strengthening to the machinery for public service delivery to have ‘embedded autonomy’ to be able to deliver the vision of an advanced strategic leader. Thus, where it emerge, it would be at the cost of democratic freedom of both the individual and the society. Africans must be ready to trade-off democratic values for development which would vitiate poverty, unemployment, inequality and generate progress towards self-reliance. When all these indices are on the decline, the freedom of choices would be expanded.

The second major finding of this study indicated that the leaders received considerable education which inculcated in them knowledge, skill, values and critical thinking required for strategic decisions on long term plan, capable of generating ‘Pareto-optimality’ on the citizenry, unlike in African states. Gibson and Milhaupt (2010) confirmed this of the ‘autocratic leaders, while Vogel and Esra(2011) affirmed that Deng Xiaoping received not only postgraduate scholarship but also internship within the Communist Party’s vast administrative structures. All other leaders in the states investigated in the study were propelled into the class of Advanced Strategic leaders partly by the higher education they obtained and more importantly by their long term vision for their countries. This broadened their minds to diverse ideas, develop creative and effective solutions, articulate their visions and produce innovations which their various state benefited.

The third question was; Why a highly endowed continent with numerous natural resources should consist of the highest proportion of poorest nations on earth. This could only be the result of the pathology of technical backwardness, wherein there have been low budgetary allocation to education as a result of the absence of advanced strategic leadership who would have known the essence of qualitative education. Drawn from the preceding paragraph, when the ‘Head of Governments’ in the continent lack quality education, they would not see any reason to fund technical and conventional education. Gibson and Milhaupt (2011) had earlier alluded to the fact that the actors which they referred to as ‘autocratic Asian leaders’ had their equivalent in Africa as autocratic selfish gerontocratic who know not the essence of education, let alone fund it.

The fourth data distilled from the cases investigated revealed that Deng Xiaoping spent fourteen (14) years in governance, Lee Kuan Yew thirty one (31), Park Chung Hee sixteen (16), Augustos Pinochet seventeen (17) and Paul Kagame twenty six (26) and still counting. It is instructive to note, that, no democratic government would grant such tenure. However, these long duration, allowed the advanced strategic leaders, to initiate programs, monitor same, evaluate the outcomes and impact, and reform the policy where necessary to achieve the envisioned impact. In contrast, African states autocratic leaders have long-tenure which is use in pursuit of selfish interests, and that of their cronies.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

The fifth data drawn from the study was the political structure of one-party-system. This did not allowed multiparty system, hence, the loss of political freedom. This dissuade democratic rascality such as is playing out in Nigeria wherein the court are being use to sabotage other political parties in a 'captured state system. The one-party structure of these states were discussed extensively by Trocki (2006), Vogel and Esra (2011), Sater and Collier (2002), Cha (2023) and Kinzer (2008) in his analysis of Rwanda under Paul Kagame, Augustos Pinochet-Chile, Park Chung Hee-South Korea, and China and Deng Xiaoping respectively. Though this may apply in the continent, it serves entirely different purposes.

These leaders commanded their states and tolerated no dissenting views on the direction of development of the state. Many drew up five (5) year National Development Plans which were reviewed yearly and practiced 'Economic Corporatism' known as 'Chaebol'. Gibson and Milhaupt (2011) drew parallel from the role of the Ministry of International Trade and Industries(MITI) in postwar Japan in 1949 with that of the Economic Development Bureau, which directed the spread of industries and investment in the various states. The outcomes and impact was the simultaneous development of both the urban and rural areas in these states. Trocki(2006) affirmed this to be true of Singapore, while Vogel and Ezra(2011) confirmed this in Deng Xiaoping China, Cha (2023) validated the phenomenon in Park Chung Hee South Korea and Stephen Kinzer (2008) certified it as applicable in Paul Kagame's Rwanda.

The concept of Advanced Strategic Leadership is characterized by (I) visionary thinking, wherein a clear compelling vision of the future is synthesize by the leader and socialized among the people. Vogel and Ezra opined that Deng Xiaoping had a policy of '*Gaige-Kaifang',* or 'reform and opening up' China (Mikhail Gorbachev 'Glasnot and Perestroika was drawn from here) over ideological purity, he judged policies by their results, and created 'special Economic Zones (SEZs), modern Free Trade Areas and choosed to focus on four distinct areas of; agriculture, industry, National Defense, Science and Technology modernization, Human capital accumulation and above all Long term strategic plan. Trocki in 'Singapore: Wealth, Power and the Culture of Control confirmed the above elements of being endemic in the governance of Lee Kuan Yew. Sater and Collier (2002) stated that these were part of the '*El Ladrillo'* drafted by the '*Chigaco boys'* in Chile which guided the administration of Augustos Pinochet to its economic breakthrough. Cha (2023) confirmed these principles as the fundamental objectives of state policy in South Korea under Park Chung Hee. Kinzer also averred that these are the guidepost of Paul Kagame in the management of post-genocide Rwanda. All these qualified these personalities as Advanced Strategic leaders, and economically benevolent dictators in contrast with the gerontocratic and autocratic 'Head of governments which dots the African continent.

The desire for strong government- The nature of government in the cases studies indicated strong governments. This is the current trend in governance. The Swedish International Institute

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA), in a survey conducted recently, contained in 'Perception of Democracy Survey (PODS), covering nineteen (19) countries with a sample size of 1500 respondents, on a margin of error 2-4%. The survey objectives was to measure public trust in political institutions, government performance and democratic inclusion.

The Core Findings were;(i) In eleven (11) of the nineteen (19)countries (57%) surveyed, less than half of the respondents expressed confidence in the most recent elections in their respective countries (*loosing faith in elections*).(ii) In seventeen (17) of nineteen (19) countries(89%) less than half of the respondents were *satisfied* with their governments including the three (3) largest democracies; Brazil, India and USA (*Lack of satisfaction with democracy*). (iii) In eight (8) of nineteen (19) countries (42%) people had favourable views of *strong leaders* (*benevolent dictators)* who do not have to border with parliament or elections. Respondents in India and Tanzania were strongly disposed to strong leaders or benevolent dictators. (iv) People were pessimistic about how much things had improved over time. Only people in four (4) of nineteen countries (21%) strongly agreed that their governments were doing well.

Generally, minorities are doubtful of electoral system credibility. (vi) Judicial system in eighteen(18) out of nineteen countries (94%) fewer people had the view that the courts can provide access to justice (failing court system). On access to justice; Iraq 28%, Denmark 26% respondents affirmed that only about these number could have access to justice (*Yougov & Geoplo 2023/Jan 2024)*. The survey indicated a trend towards benevolent dictatorship in governance including the African continent.

Conclusion

We concluded therefore, that the annihilation of post independence assertive leaders in the African continent must have suppressed the further rise of advanced strategic leaders. The absence of this form of leadership creates the poverty of availability of men of vision capable of harnessing the numerous resources in the continent to lift its people out of underdevelopment. Consequently, the continent have not been able to step onto the first layer on the development ladder, hence, no one with the vision to drive state-led development which have thrown others in Asia into the stage of sustainable development. The need for a benevolent dictator becomes a categorical imperative in the continent in the face of the abundant resources. We submit that development takes place under Benevolent Dictatorship much more than under democratic leadership. Benevolent dictators laid the foundations of development in all the now developed societies in the East or in the West. Africa have great lessons to learn and convert the numerous resources in the continent into development that not only meet the needs of the present generation but also that of future generation under the leadership of benevolent dictators as against autocratic and selfish dictators.

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

References

Amsden,A.H.(1989). Asia's next giant: South Korea and late industrialization. Oxford University Press

Carlyle, R.W. & Carlyle, A.J. (1932). A History of Mediaeval Political Theory in the West Vol. 1 William Blakwood & Sons Ltd.

Cha, V.D., & Pardo, R.P.(2023). Korea: A New History of South and North. Yale University Press

Ekanem, S.(2026, May 2026). Tanzania discovers some of the world's most valuable stratgic minerals… Business Insider Africa. Africa.business insider.com -Insider Inc. Is an American online media

Evans, P.B.(1995). Embedded autonomy: States and industrial transformation. Princeton University Press

Ewars, S.(2023).The Chile Project: The Story of the Chicago Boys and downfall of Neoliberalism. Princeton University Press

Frank, E. O. (2014) The Political Economy of Nigeria's Declining Power in Africa: Outcomes and Policy Options. Nigerian Journal of Legislative Affairs- Vol.6(1) Jan-June in Frank, E.O.(2021). The States in the Continent: Development Bottlenecks in the 21st Century, AKSU Journal of Social Sciences Vol.1(2) p 93 -102

Frank, E.O.(2016). Sustainable Development in the digital Age: A Recipe for Nigeria - E-Governance Conference proceeding on ICT for Governance in Nigeria

Frank, E.O.(2021). The States in the Continent: Development Bottlenecks in the 21st Century, AKSU Journal of Social Sciences Vol.1(2) p 93 -102 paper presented in the 1st International Conference 17-19 February, 2021.

Gilson, R. J. & Milhaupt, C. J. (2011). Economically Benevolent Dictators:Lessons for Developing Democracies. The American Journal of Comparative Law. Vol. 59, Issues 1(Winter) 2011, Jan, 2011

Johnson, C.(1982). MITI and the Japanese Miracle:The growth of Industrial policy, 1925-1975. Standard University Press.

Kabir, Y.(2024, April, Premium Times).People are losing hope in democracy, prefer strong undemocratic leaders, April 11,2024. The International Institute for Democracy and Electoral

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

Assistance (IDEA) in a report titled ‘Perceptions of Democracy Survey PODS) of 35 member Organization which promotes democracy Worldwide base in IDEA- Sweden

Kholi, A. (2004). State-directed development:Political power and industrialization in the global periphery. Cambridge University Press

Kinzer, S.(2008). A Thousand hills: Rwanda Rebirth and the man who dreamed it. John Wiley & Sons

Mazrui, A. A. (Ed)(1977).The Warrior Tradition in Modern Africa. Leiden E.J.Brill

Ndiyo, N.A.(2005).Fundamentals of Research in Behavioral Sciences and Humanities. Wusen Publishers

Sater, W.F. & Collier, S.(2022). A History of Chile (1808-2018) Pinochet Era. Cambridge University Press

Soros, G. (2004). The Crisis of Global Capitalism (Open Society Endangered) N.Delhi: Viva Books Ltd.

Trocki, C. A.(2006). Singapore: Wealth, Power and the Culture of Control. Routledge

Vogel, E.F.(2011).Deng Xiaoping and the Transformation of China. Cambridge Massachuset.

Yin, R.K.(2014).Case Study Research:Design and Methods (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks.CA: SAGE Publications

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

Appendices

RWANDA — Development Indicators

Baseline: Before 1994 → Recent (1995–2025)

Indicator	Before 1994 (Baseline)	After / Recent	Change
GDP per capita	US$112–187	About US$1,000–1,070	~6–9× increase
Economy (post-genocide)	Collapsed	8–9% growth as of 2024	Recovery & sustained growth
Life expectancy	28–32 yrs (after genocide); ~36 yrs	68+ yrs	More than doubled
Population	About 6 million	14.3 million	Population velocity & growth
Poverty rate	Extremely high — majority below poverty line	38.6% (international poverty measure)	Significant reduction (though still substantial)
HDI	0.192	0.548	Major improvement
Ease of doing business	Weak institutions & conflict conditions	Among Africa's top reformers	Major institutional improvement
Foreign investment	Negligible	Consistent inflows — services, tourism, ICT & infrastructure	Significant increase

SINGAPORE — Baseline Data

Baseline: 1959–60 → After Lee Kuan Yew (LKY) era

Indicator	1959–60 (Baseline)	After LKY / Recent
Population	1.6 million	3.0 million+
GDP per capita	US$428	US$11,862–12,766
Literacy rate	52%	90%
Life expectancy	65 years	74 years
External trade	~US$7.3 billion	US$205 billion
Tourists (annual)	100,000	5.3 million
Telephone lines per 100	3	38
Public housing units	22,975	667,575

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

CHINA — Statistics

Baseline (BP) → After Reform / Recent (Post-1978)

Indicator	Baseline (BP)	After Reform / Recent
GDP	US$149 billion	~US$8.5 trillion+
GDP per capita	US$1,566	US$8,000+ (PPP est. ~US$61,000)
Economic system	Centrally planned	Socialist market economy
Poverty rate	Over 88% in extreme poverty	Less than 10%
FDI	Zero	US$110 billion annually
Exports	US$10 billion	Over US$2 trillion
Urbanization	18%	More than 52%
Literacy rate	66%	95%
Life expectancy	68 years	75 years
Industrial output	Low technology	World's largest manufacturing base; sophisticated tech
Global rank	Low	2nd largest economy in the world
Employment structure	70% in agriculture	Majority in services & tech

Source: Vogel, E.F. (2011) complemented by many other sources. 'Deng's governance feat produced the most rapid and susta

SOUTH KOREA — Development Indicators

Baseline: 1961–1979 | Transition from agrarian to industrial giant

Indicator	BP 1961	1979	Change
GDP per capita	US$79–82	US$1,600–1,700	~20-fold increase
Exports	US$41 million	Over US$15 billion	Massive export-led growth
Average GDP growth	Low & stable	8–10% annually	Sustained high growth
Agriculture share of GDP	39.1%	Below 20%	Structural transformation
Literacy rate	71–72%	Above 93%	Near-universal literacy
Life expectancy	About 54 years	66 years	Significant improvement
National savings rate	~3.8%	Above 28%	Increased domestic mobilization

Source: Kim, B.K. & Vogel, E.F. (Eds.) (2011). The Park Chung-hee Era: The Transformation of South Korea. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Pres

International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research

ISSN: 2455-8834

Volume:11, Issue:08 "August 2026"

CHILE (Augusto Pinochet Era) — Socio-Economic Indicators

Baseline: 1973–1980 → After Reforms / Post-1990

Indicator	Before (Baseline — BP)	After Reforms	Change / Notes
Inflation	500%	Reduced considerably (~2–3%)	Dramatic reduction
GDP Growth	1975/82 recessions	2–3% growth (stabilised)	Recovery after shock therapy
Unemployment	Above 20% in 1982/83	12%	Significant reduction
Trade & Exports	State-owned enterprises; trade restrictions	Trade liberalisation	Market-oriented reform
Poverty	About 45% in 1987	Reduced (but increased inequality noted)	Reduced poverty; increased inequality
Income inequality	Increased	Increased (Gini remained high)	Persisted as major concern
Fiscal deficit	Reduced significantly	Market-oriented reforms	Low export base initially
Economic system	High inflation, shortages of goods, fiscal problems	Market economy, privatisation, trade liberalisation, high unemployment, low wages, bank	Structural transformation

Source: Sebastián Edwards (2023). The Chile Project & The Story of Chicago Boys. Chilean Economic Development under Neoliberalism.

Note: Some Eurocentric scholars are of the view that Chile's post-1990 democratic governments enjoyed the benefits of market reforms initiated by Pinochet than the initiators, because many of the reforms take longer-term to generate growth. It should also be noted that all these came at the high costs of human rights violations.

