

"From Ruins to Resilience: How War-Torn Economies Rebuilt and Emerged as Global Economic Powers": Case study of Germany and Italy

Veerpratap Sardana

International School Bangalore (Tisb)

DOI: 10.46609/IJSSER.2026.v11i09.020 URL: <https://doi.org/10.46609/IJSSER.2026.v11i09.020>

Received: 2 September 2026 / Accepted: 17 September 2026 / Published: 22 September 2026

ABSTRACT

An analysis of the reconstruction of Italy and Germany as a prototype for the development of current developing countries. A lot can be learnt from these two countries with respect to the manner of their system of governance, education, the role of the manufacturing sector as well as the importance assigned to social inclusion after the World War II. The countries that developed after WW II did so under different circumstances and different world conditions. But the importance of this paper is to take lessons from their development path and apply as far as possible for developing nations today. The external circumstances have changed but the internal emphasis on education, social inclusion, promotion of the manufacturing sector and effective governance still hold true.

Keywords: The Marshal Plan, reconstruction, sustained investment, Economic Miracle, Wirtschaftswunder, social market economy.

1 Introduction

The culmination of the Second World War left Europe facing an Economic and Social Catastrophe without historical Precedent. The cities were destroyed, their productive capacities collapsed, the financial systems were dislocated, and the demographic losses plummeted to an unheard-of low. Millions of lives had been lost, families were fragmented by death, imprisonment or displaced from their place of origin. The shortage of workforce hindered the economies that were engaged in the war. It adversely impacted the long-term recovery of both Germany and Italy. The defeat was compounded by devastating consequences. The political legitimacy was disintegrated, the institutions were discredited, and above all, the economic crisis seemed to have become deeply rooted, with no signs of revival. However, the decades post-1945 confounded these assumptions. In a remarkably short period of time, most Western European Economies returned to pre-war output levels, and the quarter-century that followed it was an era of Exceptional Growth, stability and social advancement. This transformation was not uniform

across the continent. Western Europe experienced rapid reconstruction and an increase in living standards; Eastern Europe, on the other hand, struggled to fully recover as it had incurred more serious demographic damage and had to deal with a rigid economic system. These countries, even before the war, were lacking in economic growth and stability. Their entering as allies dealt an even greater blow, which was difficult to address once peace returned. The divergence highlighted the importance of institutional framework, policy coordination and international integration in shaping post-war outcomes. The economic revival of Western Europe rested on several interrelated fundamentals, such as pre-war industrial capabilities and Human Capital. These key factors were damaged but not erased; therefore, they could be reactivated under favourable socio-economic and political conditions. International cooperation played a decisive role in restoring trade, stabilising currency and aligning reconstruction efforts. New global and regional institutions, like the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank and the Organisation of European Economic Co-operation, reshaped the economic governance and, with the acceptance of the Marshall Plan, provided vital financial and strategic support to Western Europe. In contrast Eastern Europe was burdened by deeper demographic losses and centrally imposed economic systems, and struggled to overcome huge challenges that they encountered.

Important changes in both Italy and Germany with respect to reconstruction after the Second World War

Italy's post-war experience showed how sustained investments and structural changes could generate rapid growth against all odds. At the onset of the 1950's Italy entered a phase of extraordinary expansion, marked by accelerating productivity, employment, and income. This gave them the title of 'Economic Miracle' worldwide. The growth was regionally uneven, with early industrial recovery being concentrated in the northern Industrial core. This phenomenon was facilitated by labour migration from the south, before spreading to dynamic medium-sized industrial districts in central and northeastern regions. High investments and productivity gains outpaced wages, resulting in entrepreneurial confidence leading to Italy's integration into the European market. This made Italy attain a pinnacle role in the European Economic Community.

Germany's trajectory was shaped by an even more complex post-war transition. Defeat brought occupation, territorial division, inflow of Refugees and initial allied policies designed to restrain economic revival. Due to the above-stated factors, the recovery was delayed and uncertain. However, a decisive turning point emerged late 1940s with currency reforms, industrial restructuring and the adoption of a social market economy in the Western zones. This was backed with substantial external support and integration in Western political and security alliances. These key factors laid the foundations for the Wirtschaftswunder. Therefore, turning Western Germany into Europe's Industrial engine and eventually, a global economic leader. The

post-war Experience of Germany and Italy illustrates how War-Torn economies can transition from a state of collapse and devastation to resilience through a complex interaction of Domestic reforms, international support and instructional innovation.

By examining the above two case studies, this paper investigates the importance of economic policy choices, structural conditions, and historical contingencies that combine amicably to transform devastation into durable prosperity. These two cases would be used as sterling examples for countries like India, which are presently facing under development. The examples may not be completely relevant in today's economic scenario, but basic intrinsic assumptions for reconstruction can still be adopted

2 Literature review

Specific plan for Germany's and Italy's reconstruction; The Marshal Plan

The Marshall Plan, formally known as the European Recovery Program (ERP), was written in 1947 and presented by U.S. Secretary of State George C. Marshall in June of that year. It took effect from 1948 to 1951. The program aimed to rebuild the war-damaged economies of Europe by:

- restore industrial activity
- agricultural productivity
- Stabilise European currencies
- Halt the spread of communism through European prosperity and political stability

Over \$13 billion of the program came from the United States and was awarded to Western Europe through grants, loans, food, fuel, raw materials, and technical assistance. Economic cooperation, trade liberalisation, and modernisation through joint planning and productivity change were also encouraged. The Marshall Plan accelerated Europe's recovery through the elimination of shortages, reconstruction of infrastructure, and restoration of market confidence. Industrial production in Western Europe grew enormously, and the standard of living improved within a few years. Assistance was given to the rebuilding of industry, the stabilisation of the Italian lira, and to encourage and bring prosperity back to the Italian economy. The efficient use of capital expenditure made the progress of Austria and Germany reach unimaginable heights. This achievement is known as the "Wirtschaftswunder" (economic miracle). This period has been labeled as an era of achievement due to efficient and high productivity levels in the shortest period of time.

The system of Governance prevalent in both countries

By 1934, Germany was converted into a totalitarian dictatorship under Adolf Hitler through a carefully plotted and planned manner. The initial steps in Hitler's ascendance began during the Weimar Republic. His propaganda was based on promoting the fact that the white German race were direct descendants of Aryans. They should be the rulers of the world. He also spoke of nationalist ideologies and promises of rebirth. He was appointed as Chancellor in Jan. 1933. His power ascendance included manipulation of existing legal structures instead of annulling them. The Reichstag Fire Decree was used for emergency legislation to disregard civil rights, while the Enabling Legislation in 1933 granted Hitler the power to pass any legislation without consulting parliament, thereby sabotaging democratic structures from within. The Night of Long Knives in 1934 ensured Hitler's rule in military matters when he eliminated potential rivals from his party and he assumed complete military domination. He then made all military personnel take an oath in support of him rather than in support of the National German State. He became Führer by taking on both roles – as Chancellor and President – following Hindenburg's death. He assumed limitless power. *His absolute domination in political, social and military spheres in Europe was achieved during World War II.*

In Italy, another such leader was Benito Mussolini, who established an authoritarian regime, although it was achieved in a relatively slower and more gradual manner. Mussolini rose to fame during the aftermath of World War I and a wave of turmoil when he exploited both socialism and national despair. In 1922, through a March on Rome, Mussolini compelled King Victor Emmanuel III to name him his prime minister, thereby gaining credibility through being legitimized within a preexisting constitutional setting. Once installed in government, Mussolini attempted to undermine democracy through actions that abrogated parliament and abolished all other opposition parties and laws that vested all presidential power in the executive alone. Additionally, domination over both the military and "Black shirts" maintained forced compliance with Fascist government. In the second-half 1920s, it became a one-party state with a personality cult established by Mussolini, who became the embodiment of the country. The Fascist government relegated all individual rights to the state through processes such as censorship, propaganda, and secret police. By the start of World War II, Mussolini became a totally all-powerful dictator who ran a country that was ultra nationalist, militarist, and antidemocratic—firmly in line with Nazi Germany.

The downfall of these dictatorial regimes in 1945 marked the beginning of radical changes in the constitutional and political arenas. The outcome of World War II, led to the rejection of totalitarianism in Germany and led to the creation of the Federal Republic in 1949 and the adoption of the Federal Republic's democratic constitutional framework based on parliamentary politics, respect for human rights, as well as balanced institutions.

In Italy, the monarchy was abolished in 1946, followed by the adoption of the 1948 Republican Constitution, which led to the establishment of a parliamentary democracy in the country based on free and fair elections. It is under these democratic principles that the economic recovery and growth of the two countries were phenomenal.

In the case of West Germany, the **Wirtschaftswunder** changed its economy from one that was a “shattered and broken country” to one among the most vibrant economies of the world. Similarly, the **economic miracle** in Italy during the 1950s and 1960s led to the rapid growth of industries and consequently a significant improvement in the standards of living of all its citizens. It was the movement towards democratic governance that ensured stability, efficient implementation of policies, and continuity to the economy in terms of upliftment and betterment of its citizens. This was completely opposite to what existed under the earlier authoritarian regimes, both in Italy and Germany.

3. Research gap and methodology

This paper would analyse in detail the role of the type of governance and policies that were followed by them in achieving high GDP growth for war torn economies of Italy and Germany. How important were the policy measures that they followed in achieving success in becoming two of the most developed nations in the period after the war. What were the specific policy measures that were followed and what was the ground reality that made them achieve high levels of growth in the shortest period of time. What are the lessons that could be learnt for a developing nation like India?

The methodology adopted would be primarily qualitative. All sources would be secondary data that would be collected from authentic sites. The pace of development that was achieved by both these economies and its underlying measures could be used as a bench mark for current countries who are struggling to achieve high growth rates.

4. Analysis of the Reconstruction of War-Torn Germany

4.1 Reconstruction of War-Torn Germany with Respect to Economic Growth

The end of the Second World War in 1945 left Germany politically divided, economically shattered, and socially devastated. The country emerged from the war as one of the most damaged nations in Europe. Major cities such as Berlin, Hamburg, Dresden, and Cologne were destroyed due to continuous bombings, industries had collapsed, transport systems were ruined,

and millions of people were homeless and unemployed. Germany also lost a significant portion of its workforce because of military deaths, displacement, and imprisonment. Inflation, scarcity of food, and the destruction of productive infrastructure made economic recovery extremely difficult in the immediate post-war years.

Following the war, Germany was divided into two separate political and economic regions: East Germany and West Germany. West Germany, officially known as the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), adopted a democratic and capitalist economic system under the influence of the United States, Britain, and France. East Germany, officially called the German Democratic Republic (GDR), came under Soviet influence and followed a communist economic model. This division played a major role in shaping the economic reconstruction and development paths of both regions.

In the years immediately after the war, Germany's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) was extremely low. Industrial production had fallen drastically, and agricultural output was insufficient to meet the population's basic needs. The destruction of factories, railways, roads, and communication systems prevented industries from functioning efficiently. Many workers lacked access to employment because industrial centers had either been destroyed or dismantled. Germany's GDP during this period reflected severe economic stagnation and decline. In 1945 and 1946, economic activity was largely centered on survival rather than growth.

One of the biggest obstacles to economic recovery was the shortage of capital and investment. Capital formation, which refers to the accumulation of physical assets such as machinery, infrastructure, factories, and equipment, had almost completely collapsed during the war. Much of Germany's industrial machinery had either been destroyed or taken away as reparations by Allied powers, particularly in the Soviet-controlled eastern region. Without sufficient capital goods, production remained low and unemployment remained high.

However, despite these severe challenges, West Germany experienced one of the most remarkable economic recoveries in modern history during the late 1940s and 1950s. This period became known as the "Wirtschaftswunder," or the "Economic Miracle." Several factors contributed to this rapid economic growth.

One of the most important factors was the introduction of currency reform in 1948. Before the reform, Germany suffered from hyperinflation and a weak currency system. The old Reichsmark had lost much of its value, and black markets had become widespread because official currency could no longer support economic exchange effectively. In June 1948, West Germany introduced a new currency called the Deutsche Mark. This reform restored public confidence in the

monetary system and encouraged production, trade, and investment. Businesses were able to operate more efficiently, while consumers regained purchasing power.

Another significant factor in West Germany's recovery was the Marshall Plan introduced by the United States in 1948. Through the Marshall Plan, the United States provided financial assistance, raw materials, machinery, and technological support to war-affected European countries, including West Germany. This aid helped rebuild factories, transportation systems, housing, and industrial infrastructure. The inflow of foreign capital increased investment levels and stimulated industrial production. The Marshall Plan also encouraged modernization and technological advancement, which improved productivity across various sectors of the economy.

Economic policies adopted by West Germany further supported growth and capital formation. Ludwig Erhard, the Minister of Economics and later Chancellor of West Germany, introduced the concept of the "social market economy." This system combined free-market capitalism with social welfare measures. The government encouraged private enterprise, competition, and industrial expansion while also ensuring social security and labor protections for workers. The removal of price controls and production restrictions increased market efficiency and encouraged businesses to expand operations.

As industrial production increased, West Germany witnessed rapid GDP growth during the 1950s. Industries such as steel, automobiles, chemicals, engineering, and manufacturing became major contributors to economic expansion. Companies such as Volkswagen, Siemens, and Bayer played an important role in rebuilding the industrial economy. Exports also increased significantly because West German goods gained a reputation for quality and efficiency in international markets. Rising exports generated foreign exchange earnings and strengthened economic stability.

Savings and investment became central components of West Germany's economic success. During the post-war years, households gradually increased their savings as incomes rose and economic confidence improved. Banks and financial institutions mobilized these savings and directed them toward industrial investment and infrastructure development. High levels of domestic savings allowed industries to expand production capacity and modernize equipment. Investment in machinery, factories, transport, and technology led to higher productivity and greater economic output.

The government also invested heavily in infrastructure reconstruction. Roads, railways, ports, communication systems, and power supply networks were rebuilt and modernized. These investments facilitated trade, transportation, and industrial efficiency. Capital formation increased steadily as industries accumulated productive assets and expanded their operations. By

the late 1950s, West Germany had transformed from a war-ravaged economy into one of the strongest industrial powers in Europe.

Labor productivity also contributed significantly to economic growth. German workers were known for discipline, technical skills, and efficiency. Vocational training programs and industrial education helped create a skilled workforce capable of supporting modern industrial production. Additionally, millions of refugees and displaced persons from former German territories provided an additional labor force that contributed to economic expansion.

In contrast, East Germany followed a centrally planned communist economic model under Soviet influence. The state controlled industries, agriculture, and production targets. Large industries were nationalized, and private ownership was limited. While East Germany also undertook reconstruction efforts and achieved some industrial growth, its economic development differed significantly from that of West Germany.

Initially, East Germany faced severe economic difficulties because the Soviet Union extracted heavy reparations from the region. Many factories and industrial assets were dismantled and transported to the Soviet Union. This weakened industrial capacity and slowed capital formation. Agricultural collectivization and state control over industries reduced incentives for private investment and entrepreneurship.

Despite these challenges, East Germany achieved moderate industrial growth in sectors such as heavy engineering, chemicals, and machinery. The government focused on centralized economic planning and investment in heavy industries. Education and technical training were emphasized to develop skilled labor. However, economic growth remained slower and less dynamic compared to West Germany because of lower productivity, restricted market competition, and limited consumer choice.

GDP growth in East Germany improved gradually during the 1950s and 1960s, but the economy struggled to match the prosperity of the West. Consumer goods were often limited, and shortages were common. Savings existed within the socialist framework, but investment decisions were controlled by the state rather than market forces. Capital formation occurred primarily through government-directed industrial projects rather than private enterprise and competitive investment.

The economic differences between East and West Germany became increasingly visible over time. West Germany's market-based economy generated higher incomes, greater industrial productivity, and stronger international trade relations. East Germany, although relatively stronger than many other communist economies, could not achieve the same level of economic dynamism and innovation.

Overall, the reconstruction of war-torn Germany represents one of the most significant examples of post-war economic recovery in modern history. Immediately after the Second World War, Germany faced economic collapse, destruction of infrastructure, low GDP, weak investment, and almost nonexistent capital formation. However, through foreign aid, currency reform, industrial rebuilding, effective economic policies, and increased savings and investment, West Germany experienced rapid economic growth and transformed into a leading industrial economy within a few decades.

At the same time, East Germany pursued a different path under a communist economic system. Although reconstruction efforts improved industrial production and living standards to some extent, *the centrally planned economy limited flexibility, innovation, and productivity growth*. The contrasting economic outcomes of East and West Germany highlighted the influence of *political systems, economic policies, and investment strategies on national reconstruction and development*.

The comparison of growth levels between East and West Germany led to the formal integration of the two at midnight on October 3rd 1990, and the two were then called the Federal Republic of Germany. It was clear that the level of development achieved by West Germany was primarily due to its system of governance, social inclusion and efficient system of deploying available financial resources.

The post-war reconstruction of Germany therefore demonstrates how economic planning, capital formation, industrial investment, and institutional reforms can play a crucial role in rebuilding a nation after large-scale destruction. Germany's experience continues to be studied as an important case of economic recovery, modernization, and long-term growth following war and division.

5. Reconstruction of War Torn Italy: GDP, Savings-Investment, education, and governance

When the war ended in 1945, Italy faced an devastated infrastructure, a political vacuum as well as acute shortages. It had to recover from a period of fascism as well as the social costs that are involved when a country is at war. There was no manufacturing sector and the transport sector had been completely destroyed.

A large amount of finance was required for the economy's reconstruction; this was made available by the 'Marshal Plan'. The 1946, referendum transformed the political landscape of the economy; it moved from an anarchy to a democracy, leading to political stability and social reforms in war torn Italy.

The Marshal Plan was a generous aid package that was constituted by America to help war torn European countries. The major transformation that helped in reconstruction was the adoption of the Democratic Political System which emphasized on popular sovereignty, social inclusion and individual rights.

Economic challenges and how they were overcome

The main area of concern in war-torn Italy was the lack of reserves, and with it the inability to import essential commodities. The imperative need was to rebuild the manufacturing sector and also a modern infrastructure, which required equipment, technology and a skilled workforce. The finances received under the Marshal Plan helped in the development of the manufacturing and the infrastructure sectors of the economy. The rapid influx of funds under the 'Plan', led to rapid economic growth and development.

Social Political Changes

A major referendum in 1946 overthrew the fascist regime and brought in its place a democratic set up, leading to the transition of Italy from a Monarchy to a Republic. This led to the emergence of new political parties like the Christian Democracy and the Italian Communist Party. These parties brought in its wake a new set of labour and social reforms that aimed at protecting worker's rights, paid holidays for them and social security that worked towards improving the standard of living of its citizens. This encouraged voter participation in the political process leading to greater empowerment and civic responsibility for its citizens.

The Marshall Plan was not only the availability of finances for industries and infrastructure, it also emphasised on promotion of democratic values and institutions that would prevent the resurgence of fascist regimes. It supported policy measures that would aid in healthcare and education of its people, and encouraged social cohesion.

Besides just helping Italy the Plan was one that *aimed at peace, prosperity and cooperation in Europe as US felt that a prosperous Europe would help in further development of America.*

The Marshall Plan worked primarily in the following ways:

- Provision of financial assistance to the extent of 6% of Italy's GDP at that time
- Infrastructure facilities
- Funds for modernisation and expansion of the manufacturing sector

- The agricultural sector benefited by the emphasis on encouragement of, irrigation facilities and the establishment of agricultural cooperatives.
- Huge benefits received through trade within and outside of Europe.
- Social benefits in terms of education, health, construction of housing facilities and cultural exchange programme.

The above factors led to an “Economic Miracle” as far as the country was concerned. The GDP as a result jumped to 8% per annum in 1958-1963, this was coupled with cheap middle eastern oil and a dynamic government.(Britannica, Italy Chronicles).

6. Lessons to be learnt for current Developing Economies

The most important factors that are required beyond reconstruction is the sense of belonging, nationalism and universal employment. Data has indicated that the country can grow at around 8% per annum if corruption is contained, accountability is practised and inclusive as well as cooperation between states and global partners. What is important is the alignment of leadership, finance and social utility. Besides the trust in the governance it is also the changing goal post with respect to pathway's to higher GDP growth that needs to be in aligned with the changing global circumstances.

Given the current level of globalisation and the integration with the world, it is important to take advantage of the current world scenario to increase GDP of their economy. Countries like Japan, South Korea, Singapore and China took advantage of the requirement for commodities that were labour intensive during the time of their development. After the ‘Cold War’, a large number of the countries opened up to specialisation and comparative advantage of export and import of commodities.

But what was imperative as was learnt by the reconstruction of Germany and Italy was the requirement of a simultaneous growth of human capital development, economic diversification, governance reforms, sustainability integration, trade promotion, and social inclusion. The development of countries like China, Japan, Singapore and others have indicated the importance of visionary leadership, strategic investments and the ability to react to global dynamics. This requires the government to take appropriate decisions at the right time and more often than not place economics above politics. This also requires social inclusion and a robust education system that is aligned to the needs of the manufacturing sector. It is this sector which would eventually lead to absorbing the workforce from the agricultural sector. A growing small micro enterprise sector would require less skills than the services sector or even large manufacturing companies.

A gradual approach would equip the work force in an adequate manner that would lead to increased employment and income for the workers and eventual development of the nation.

7. Limitations

The basic issue is that a straight forward comparison cannot be attempted due to the countries developing at different points in time. The world situation as well as their internal dynamics are diverse, and to suggest a single pathway would be extremely difficult and incorrect.

8. Conclusion and the way forward

Each country is different in terms of the starting point, whether it is in terms of the initial level of education, social inclusion and the importance of the agricultural sector. The period in which industrialization occurred is also different, and so are the demands of the world economy. Both Italy and Germany adopted democratic systems of governance, but the later countries like China, Singapore, S.Korea and India are under different systems of governance. Most of them took advantage of the world scenario in the 60's, 70's and the 80's but India entered only in the 90's. This was the period of the rise of the Information Technology sector, which India took advantage of. Thus it depends on the timing and the underlying realities that exist which would decide on the path to be followed. The basic learning is to create a robust education system that aligns with the demands of the industrial sector, and a government that aims at social inclusion.

Bibliography

Contemporary History World at War: Fascism and Communism | CFR Education. (n.d.). <https://education.cfr.org/learn/learning-journey/contemporary-history-world-war-fascism-and-communism/what-is-fascism>; Mussolini and Hitler Christian Goeschel. *European Review of International Studies*.

Dupont Kiefer, A. et al., (2024). Introduction to Economists at War: How World War II changed economics (and vice versa) Free Ariane Dupont-Kieffer; Robert W. Dimand; Sylvie Rivot History of Political Economy (2024) 56 (S1): 1–27. *Duke University Press*.

Forlenza, R. (2017). The Politics of the Abendland: Christian Democracy and the Idea of Europe after the Second World War. *Contemporary European History*, 26(2), 261–286. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0960777317000091>

Garofoli, G. (2016). The Italian economic development since the Post-War Period: Policy lessons for Europe. *Siecon*.

Hanshew, K. (2019). Cohesive difference: Germans and Italians in a postwar Europe. *Central European History*, 52(1), 65–86. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26733012>

Janik, M. (2021). Economic and Political Reconstruction and Development of FRG in the period after World War II June 2021 *Historia i Polityka* DOI: 10.12775/HIP.2021.018 LicenseCC BY-ND 4.0 Mariusz Janik. *Sage Publications*

Knowles, C. (2025, February 25). *Germany 1945-1949: a case study in post-conflict reconstruction - History & Policy*. History & Policy. <https://historyandpolicy.org/policy-papers/papers/germany-1945-1949-a-case-study-in-post-conflict-reconstruction>

Plasencia, M. M. (2020). Disabling fascism: a struggle for the last laugh in Trump's America. *University of Miami School of Law Institutional Repository (University of Miami)*, 23, 287. https://repository.law.miami.edu/fac_articles/946

Reichel, R. (2002). GERMANY 'S POSTWAR GROWTH: ECONOMIC MIRACLE OR RECONSTRUCTION BOOM? In *Cato Journal* (Vols. 21–21, Issue 3, pp. 427–428). Cato Institute. https://ciaotest.cc.columbia.edu/olj/cato/v21n3/cato_v21n3rer01.pdf

Scherner, J. (2022). Incompetence or Ingenuity? Why Did Nazi Germany Not Seek Closer Wartime Economic Cooperation with Italy? *Journal of Contemporary History*, 57(3), 553–576. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220094221100002>

Stubbs, P. (2025). *The new international economic order*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003480020>

Vonyo, T. (2019). Recovery and Reconstruction: Europe after WWII Tamás Vonyó / 21 Nov 2019. *CEPR*.

Zamigni, V. (2023). The Italian Economic Story, 1946'2016 Published online by Cambridge University Press: 09 August 2023 Vera Zamagni. *Cambridge University Press*.